Date:
May 11, 2016
Case: PUBLIC COMMENT HEARING ON BINGO RULES
Kim Tindall and Associates, LLC. Phone: (210) 697-3400 Fax: (210) 697-3408 Email: ktindall@ktanda.com Internet: www.KimTindallandAssociates.com

1	
2	
3	
4	*****
5	
6	TEXAS LOTTERY COMMISSION
7	
8	PUBLIC COMMENT HEARING ON BINGO RULES
9	
10	MAY 11, 2016
11	
12	10:00 A.M.
13	
14	TAKEN AT
15	
16	THE TEXAS LOTTERY COMMISSION
17	
18	611 EAST 6TH STREET
19	
20	AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701
21	
22	STENOGRAPHICALLY RECORDED BY
23	
24	GENEVA GARCIA, CSR
25	
	l l

1	APPEARANCES
2	GENERAL COUNSEL MR. BOB BIARD
3	DIRECTOR CHARITABLE BINGO OPERATIONS MR. ALFONSO ROYAL
4	
5	INDEX OF COMMENTERS
6	MR. WILL MARTINPAGE 5
7	MS. SHARON IVESPAGE 8
8	MR. MARK CLARK
9	MR. RICHARD BUNKLEY
10	MR. CHARLES HUTCHINGSPAGE 16
11	MS. MELODYE GREEN
12	MS. KIMBERLY KIPLINPAGE 18
13	MR. STEVEN FENOGLIO
14	MR. STEVE BRESNENPAGE 54
15	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATEPAGE 67
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 MR BIARD: Good morning. We're on the record now. This rule comment hearing is called to 3 4 The time is 10:02 on May 11th, 2016. order. 5 For the record, my name is Bob Biard. I 6 am general counsel for the Lottery Commission. And my first announcement today is that James Person, who is the attorney who was assigned to this rule making has 8 left the agency to work at the Governor's office as of 10 last Monday. So with his absence, I'll be completing 11 the attorney duties for this rule making proceeding, 12 13 with the help of Alfonso Royal, who is sitting here to my left, the Director of the Charitable Bingo Operations 14 15 Division, who is here with me. 16 The purpose of this hearing is to receive 17 public comments on proposed amendments to 29 existing 18 rules and one proposed new rule. These are all in 19 Chapter 402 of Title 16 of the Administrative Code. That's sections 402.103, .200, .203, .205, .210, .300, 20 21 .301, .303, .324, .325, .400, .404, .407, .411, .420, 22 .424, .451, .500, .504, .506, .511, .514, .600, .602, .603, .703, .706, .707, .708. And the new rule proposed 23 is 402.413. 24 25 The proposed rule amendments are a result

of both the Commission's recent rule review and several 1 2 stakeholder meetings between Commission staff and various representatives of the bingo community. 3 Commission solicited from these representatives any 4 5 proposed rule changes desired by the bingo community; 6 and the proposed changes were discussed in industry stakeholder meetings. 8 Many, but not all, of the bingo 9 community's proposed changes are reflected in this 10 proposal, as are certain other changes identified in the rule review and desired by the Commission. 11 12 The purpose of the proposed new rule 13 402.413 stems from the Occupations Code, Chapter 55, 14 which requires state agencies to issue occupational 15 licenses to adopt rules and policies pertaining to the 16 licensing of active duty military personnel, their 17 spouses and military veterans. 18 The Commission voted to propose these 19 amendments and the new rule on April 14th. And they 20 were published in the April 29th issue of the Texas 21 Register at 41 TexReg 3050 for the proposed amendments 2.2 and 41 TexReq 3067 for the proposed new rule. And these 23 were also published on the Commission's website. 24 In addition to this comment hearing, the 25 Commission is accepting written comments on these

- 1 proposals through May 31st. The comments may be
- $2\mid$ submitted to me at the Commission's mailing address,
- 3 | P.O. Box 16630, Austin, Texas, 78761.
- 4 Any comments that were sent or are sent
- 5 | to James Person, I will get them as well. You can also
- 6 | submit comments by e-mail at the
- 7 | legal.input@lottery.state.tx.us.
- 8 I'll be happy to give you that
- 9 | information again if you like.
- 10 | So far I have -- it looks like I have
- 11 seven witness affirmation forms. If anyone wishing to
- 12 comment has not turned in a witness affirmation form,
- 13 | please do so now.
- 14 Okay. And for those wishing to comment,
- 15 | please identify the particular rule or rules you're
- 16 commenting on if you can. I know there's a lot of rules
- 17 here, so to the extent you can, that would be helpful.
- 18 And so with the preliminary statements
- 19 out of the way, I'll call the first commenter, which is
- 20 | Will Martin.
- 21 Welcome. You can sit at the table or at
- 22 the podium, whichever you prefer.
- MR. MARTIN: Good morning, my name is
- 24 | Will Martin. I'm the Chairman on the Legislative
- 25 | Commission for the American Legion for the State of

Texas and I'm also Vice-President for Conservative 1 Texans for Charitable Bingo. 2 This morning I would like to comment on 3 Rule 402.404, License and Registry Fees. 4 The first 5 thing I want to do is establish that the budget for the Bingo Division here all the Texas Lottery Commission is 6 2.7 million dollars annually. 8 On page 11 of the 2015 Charitable Bingo 9 Operations Division Annual Report, it clearly shows total license fees collected from conductors, lessors 10 and workers registry to be \$3,700,764. 11 Next the total license fees collected 12 13 from manufacturers and distributors was \$75,000. 14 Next was administrative penalties, 15 settlement fees was \$51,494. 16 Next was miscellaneous fees, \$11,612. 17 Then the big one, prize fees and three 18 quarters of rental tax for \$30,175,393. 19 After allocations paid to cities and 20 counties, the net deposited to the state's general 21 revenue fund was \$20,164,772, which is \$17,464,772 more 2.2 than what it cost to fund the Charitable Bingo Division. 23 I went online and researched license fees 24 in other states. Illinois is \$200 per year. Michigan 25 is \$150 per year. Pennsylvania is \$100 per year. Iowa

1 is \$100 a year. California is \$50 per year. Georgia is 2 \$100 a year. Missouri is \$50. Mississippi is \$50 per year. Florida, \$100 per year.

2.2

When I see how Texas does license fees,
I'm reminded of the statement of the socialist leader
that once said, "You have worked hard, saved your money,
you've done without while investing wisely, now we want
half."

In other words, you have managed your bingo hall well, you've done great in raising money for the needy, so now you need to pay more for your license than those that didn't work as hard.

In my opinion this is one of most abusive cases of government agencies outright overcharging not just any organization, but from organizations that are dedicated to helping those who are in dire need -- the crippled children and the disadvantaged children who can't afford glasses at schools, veterans groups and on and on.

How anyone associated with the Bingo
Division can sleep at night is beyond me. It is amazing
that our legislative body in the State of Texas is
considered a conservative majority, but yet has a state
agency that treats the charitable organizations of this
state in such a disingenuous manner.

1 The American Legion Department of Texas 2 and the members of Conservative Texans for Charitable Bingo want to see the bingo license fees reduced greatly 3 to a reasonable rate. \$100 per year per charity across the board instead of this socialistic sliding scale that 5 penalizes those that do well in business. 6 7 Members of the legislature say they want to help charities. Your staff says they want to help 8 charities. Reducing the cost of charities will help 10 charities by allowing them to keep more of their money and help the people of Texas. 11 12 On behalf of the American Legion and 13 Conservative Texans for Charitable Bingo, I thank you 14 for this time and public comment. 15 MR BIARD: Thank you, Mr. Martin. 16 Next we have Sharon Ives. 17 I'm going to sit down. MS. IVES: 18 got quite a few to go through. 19 Good morning. My name is Sharon Ives. 20 And that's I-v-e-s. I'm with Fort Worth Bookkeeping. 21 My office handles the bookkeeping for 16 bingo unit 2.2 halls. 23 All right. Rule 402.200, I would like to 24 say that I agree with the Texas Charity Advocates' 25 proposed amendments and the Bingo Interest Group.

1 With that being said, (b)(3), deleting 2 the replacement of the set of the individual bingo balls, where they must be documented on the bingo 3 inspection log. And number (5), deleting the inspection 5 log. 6 I believe it was (h)(4) on the same rule, the written game schedule, on deleting the entire number 8 (4). I'm sure Alfonso is aware that all the 10 audits that my office has been going through, every audit has been written up -- or every bingo hall has 11 been written up regarding the game schedule. 12 13 Every time there is a change on the game 14 schedule, the charities have to order more of them since 15 we are required at this time to attach a copy to each 16 session. And it's a lot of paperwork. They have enough 17 paperwork to keep up with already. Any time there's a 18 change we have to order more programs and game schedules 19 and it's costing the charities money. 20 Rule 402.210, House Rules. I agree with 21 the house rules (e) -- I'm sorry -- paragraph (e). I 2.2 would like to have some kind of clarity on the language 23 "easily accessible". 24 Rule 402.325, (d)(3), I would like to add "unless the player refuses" on that. I had personally 25

- 1 came across a situation where a lady was having a 2 stroke. An ambulance was called and a member of her family came up to the counter to get a refund and she 3 darted out the door. She didn't have a chance to sign 4 any type of voided ticket, but it was noted on the 5 6 I just don't want to be in violation knowing that what I did was wrong. 8 Rule 402.401, Temporary License. I agree 9 with the Texas Charity Advocates and the Bingo Interest 10 Group's comments. And I do like the idea of having 11 print on demand. We've had numerous occasions where, whether it be an annual license or temporary licenses 12 13 being mailed to some unknown address that wasn't ours. 14 So that would be more feasible, being able to print on 15 demand. Rule 402.410, Amendment of a License. 16 17 Again, to print on demand. 18 Rule 402.41, I agree with the Texas 19 Charity Advocates' amendments and the Bingo Interest 20 Group.
- Rule 402.506, Disbursement Records
 Requirements. Again, I agree with the Texas Charity
 Advocates and the Bingo Interest Group's amendments.
 Specifically, that would be (e)(2), cash disbursements
 general required information and deleting the

1 organization or unit name, the taxpayer or unit number 2 in calendar quarter. I don't know about the other bingo 3 4 businesses in the state, but we use Quickbooks Pro 5 Some of those forms are not -- you accounting software. can't manipulate the titles on those forms. And we are 6 getting written up for that. Nor am I a computer hacker, so I can't go into the system and do it. 8 Rule 402.511, Required Inventory Okay. 10 Records. I agree with the Texas Charity Advocates and 11 Bingo Interest Group proposed amendments in deleting 12 the distributor's name and taxpayer number. And all of 13 the number of tickets per deal, number of tickets sold, 14 missing or damaged by occasion and number of pull-tabs tickets remaining if the deal is closed. 15 16 Rule 402.600, Bingo Reports and Payments. 17 I guess that would be (1)(3), on rounding the guarter 18 I agree with the language from the Texas 19 Charity Advocates and the Bingo Interest Group as far as just rounding to the whole dollar. And the guarterly 20 21 report would not be considered inaccurate based on 2.2 rounding numbers provided that the rounding effect 23 doesn't cause more than \$5 variance when the interests 24 are all summed up. 25 Rule 402.602, Waiver of Penalty.

1 like to go on record that I agree with the Texas Charity 2 Advocates and Bingo Interest Group's proposed 3 amendments. Rule 402.703, Audit Policy. My favorite. 4 5 The Bingo Division is the only division that takes the records from your place of business. 6 7 We go through Texas Workforce Commission audit and we go through IRS audits. That state agency 8 and federal agency audit in the office. The Bingo 10 Division is the only one that does not. 11 So I agree with a Texas Charity Advocates 12 and the Bingo Interest Group's amendments on their 13 lanquage. 14 Rule 402.708, Dispute Resolution. 15 agree with the Texas Charity Advocates and the Bingo 16 Interest Group proposed amendments language. Especially 17 on (c)(4), adding a game inspection. I believe that 18 when an inspector comes out and does a game inspection 19 using the little checklist, why don't we have the right 2.0 to comment on that. We're basically asked to sign that 21 form, but there's nowhere on there to comment. I'd like 2.2 to see that changed. 23 That's all I have today. 24 MR BIARD: Thank you. Are you going to

be submitting written comments as well?

25

```
1
                   MS. IVES:
                              Yes, I will.
 2
                   MR BIARD:
                              Okay. Thank you.
 3
                   MS. IVES:
                              Thank you.
 4
                   MR. BIARD:
                               Some of those proposals from
    the Bingo Interest Group, I think some of that might be
 5
    in some of those proposals.
 6
 7
                   MS. IVES:
                                     Thank you.
                              Okay.
 8
                   MR BIARD:
                                     Next we have Mark
                              Okay.
 9
    Clark.
           Good morning.
10
                   MR. CLARK:
                               Good morning. My name is
11
    Mark Clark, I'm here representing the AMVETS. And I'd
    like to speak on three of them.
12
13
                   Rule 402.210, about house rules being
14
    posted. We don't have a problem with the first
15
    paragraph, but "easily accessible" in the second
16
    paragraph we feel like needs to be clarified.
17
    leaves a lot of room for interpretation and you might
18
    get five different auditors out there and five different
19
    interpretations.
20
                   On Rule 402.325, Card-Minding Systems, we
21
    are speaking in support of that.
2.2
                   And 402.703, while we support the field
23
    work that may happen in a licensed business office, we
24
    don't have a problem with that. What we have a problem
    with is keeping the records for five years. That seems
25
```

1 to be a little excessive to us and we'd like to have it 2 considered to be cut back to a shorter time frame. And other than that, I'd like to thank 3 4 the Commission for the opportunity to speak before it. 5 MR BIARD: Thank you very much. Next I have Richard Bunkley. 6 7 Hello, my name is Richard MR. BUNKLEY: Bunkley with Littlefield Corporation. And, first of 8 all, I'd like to thank the Commission and the current 10 Bingo Division administration for allowing us to have these stakeholder meetings and dialogues. We don't 11 always agree, but that's okay. That's why we have 12 13 chocolate and vanilla. But the ability to have a 14 dialogue and have a compromise has really been a breath 15 of fresh air. Quite invigorating. 16 Like I said, we came to a great compromise, but I feel like further compromise is needed 17 18 and I would respectfully request that we reconsider certain section of 402.200 and also 402.300. 19 20 On 402.300, my key concern is not 21 allowing the language that allows pull-tabs to award a 2.2 raffle ticket as a prize. In the bingo business in 23 Texas we're trapped in 1982 in terms of dollars and 24 technology. And I'm not aware or any other business that can survive with those constraints. 25

Charitable bingo is scraping along and we need help, and allowing raffle tickets to be awarded as a pull-tab prize, I think would be a big step in the right direction. I'm aware of charities out there that ran similar events before there was an interpretation that this was not allowed and the results were amazing.

2.2

So I understand that the Commission's hands might be tied to a certain extent on this, so all that I'm asking is that we don't close the door on the issue, we re-investigate it and really make sure we run all the traps and see if there's anything we can do to get this issue re-addressed, whether that's an Attorney General opinion request or whatever we can do. That's way above my head, but I ask that we keep our minds open and continue to consider it.

The other issue I'd like to discuss is 402.200, prizes of \$50 or less. I think there's a conflict in the way the rule is currently written in the statue. And I'll just for the record read the way the statute is currently worded, Section 201.402, regarding prizes.

"Person may not offer or award a single bingo occasion prizes with an aggregate value of more than \$2,500 of all bingo games other than pull-tab bingo or bingo games that award an individual prize of \$50 or

```
1
    less." That seems pretty clear to me.
 2
                   And then we go to 402.200(n), and it
    reads as follows: "In order for a bingo game to
 3
    quality for the exemption on 201.402 (b)(2) of the
    Occupations Code, the total aggregate amount of the
 5
    prizes actually awarded for that game must not exceed
 6
 7
    $50."
 8
                   So we've got some extra language there,
 9
    we've got aggregate and actually awarded when in the
10
    statute it just says "individual".
                   So I'd also like to point out that I
11
12
    think the legislature is keenly aware of the distinction
13
    because they use that -- some of that language.
14
    actually use the word "aggregate" when they limit the
15
    aggregate value of prizes for occasion at $2,500.
16
                   That concludes my comments. And, again,
17
    I thank the Commission for allowing to hear us today.
18
                   MR BIARD: Thank you. Next is Charles
19
    Hutchings.
20
                   MR. HUTCHINGS:
                                   Good morning. My name is
21
    Charles Hutchings and I represent AMVETS.
2.2
                   Rule 402.200, we oppose the rule.
23
    Charitable bingo would like changes that have been made
24
    by the Charitable Bingo Advocates. Section 1, the
25
    requirement for the inspection of the bingo balls and
```

- 1 maintaining a log of the inspections. This is basically
- 2 unneeded and should be eliminated as proposed by the
- 3 Charitable Bingo Groups. So please consider an 4 amendment to the provisions.
- Rule 402.200(f) should be amended to
- 6 | allow raffle tickets to be awarded for bingo prizes.
- 7 | That would be a great assistance.
- Rule 202.200 (h)(4) and (5) should have
- 9 been revised as requested by the Charitable Bingo Group
- 10 to streamline the requirements for game schedules.
- 11 | Bingo operators don't need to be told how to run a bingo
- 12 game. They will use a game schedule if they want to and
- 13 | they give the customers the information they need to run
- 14 the games without micro-managing from the Lottery.
- 15 The rules should be revised to say the
- 16 charitable must keep a record of all items sold and
- 17 prizes paid.
- Number (4), back to what was commented on
- 19 | before, if a game is for \$100 and two people win \$50
- 20 per person, that shouldn't count against the cap. Thank
- 21 | you.
- MR BIARD: Thank you. Next is Melodye
- 23 Green.
- MS. GREEN: I'd like to speak on a rule
- 25 that's very near and dear to me, because I just wrote a

- 1 check for \$1,200 on this -- and that's the rule 402.602,
- 2 | Waiver of Penalty, Settlement of Prize Fees. We oppose
- 3 | the proposed rule.
- 4 Recently the Commission has been asking
- 5 | people to pay money for shortages in the application of
- 6 | prize fees dating back nearly 20 years. I have one at
- 7 | 21 years. But the Commission does not have any
- 8 documentation to back up these debts.
- 9 So we're asking if you have no
- 10 documentation to back up the debt, there should be no
- 11 debt. And do not hold up the license renewals if you
- 12 | have no documentation to back up the debt.
- 13 | We're asking you to accept the rules
- 14 | proposed by Kim, by Mr. Bunkley and by the Bingo
- 15 Advocates. Thank you.
- 16 This is really serious. 21 years, I
- 17 | mean, come on.
- 18 | MR BIARD: Thank you. Next is Kim
- 19 | Kiplin.
- 20 MS. KIPLIN: Good morning. For the
- 21 | record, my name is Kimberly Kiplin. I'm an attorney
- 22 | here in Austin.
- I'm here today on behalf of the
- 24 Department of Texas Veterans of Foreign Wars. We
- 25 | appreciate the opportunity to comment on the proposed

amendments to the existing charitable bingo rules and the new rule, military service members, military veterans and military spouses.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

By way of background, this rule making is a result of the statutory required rule review that each agency must undertake every four years. As part of that rule review process, the VFW did submit comments, including proposed amendments to the existing rules.

In connection with the rule review project, the Bingo Division convened several work group meetings with bingo industry stakeholders. And the VFW did participate in those meetings.

And I do want to echo what you heard earlier, I really appreciate the opportunity to be a part of that process in frank and candid discussions. I think it was very, very helpful and we would like to see that continue in the future.

Some of the VFW's proposed amendments were accepted and are now incorporated in the proposed rule amendments that were approved by the Commission for public comment as part of this current rule making proceeding. Many of the proposed amendments were not accepted.

And the gist of many of your proposed amendments was to streamline burdensome rules or to

1 eliminate unnecessary paperwork. And I'm planning on 2 addressing each rule separately so you can keep a pretty 3 good tally. So I'm going to start with 402.103, 4 5 Training Program. We support the proposed amendment to It eliminates a reference to an obsolete 6 402.103. division publication. 8 402.200, we proposed amendments to 9 eliminate performing of a bingo ball console and 10 flashboard inspection log. In subsections (b)(3) and 11 (5) they were not accepted. We would like those 12 requirements deleted. These logs are an unnecessary 13 requirement and they add a burden to organizations to maintain these records for 48 months. We also think it 14 15 sets up a trap for the organizations to get dinged for 16 noncompliance. 17 We have no objection to subsection 18 (b)(6), proposed amendment requiring an organization to 19 make its procedures on addressing problems available to 2.0 players upon request. But by way of clarification, if 21 an organization posted these procedures in a hall, that 2.2 should satisfy this requirement making these procedures 23 available upon request. 24 We'd like you to confirm that in your

agency response or comments.

25

1 We proposed amendments to subsection 2 (h)(4) and (5) that eliminates the requirements of a game schedule. While it may make good sense for an 3 organization to keep a game schedule, we would prefer the agency allow an organization to make its own 5 6 business decisions without paternalistic regulatory approach. 8 We request that section (h)(4) be revised 9 to say the charity must keep a record of all items sold 10 and prizes paid. We request subsection (h)(5) be revised 11 to reflect that if a licensed authorized organization 12 13 uses a game schedule, it may amend it during the bingo 14 occasion. We support the following proposed amendments 15 to 402.200, subsection (e), (f), (h)(3), (5), (k)(4) and 16 (o). 17 We request subsection (n) be revised to 18 make is clear that prizes awarded under \$50 do not count 19 towards the \$2,500 occasion cap, regardless of whether 2.0 that prize that was announced was more than \$50. This addresses the issue of splitting 21 22 prizes among winners in a game. This language is 23 consistent with the statutory language. 24 402.203, we have no objection to the 25 proposed amendments, which appear to be cleaning up the

1 language.

2.2

402.205, we support to proposed amendment in subsection (f)(2) and have no objection to subsection (g)(7) and (i).

402.210, we question the phrase "easily accessible to all patrons," because we believe this is open to interpretation on what it means and could be construed differently by individual inspectors. We would like clarification on the use of this phrase.

402.300, we support the proposed amendments regarding the design of the pull-tab because they will allow for more flexibility on ticket design.

We support the proposed amendment that allows bingo equipment to be awarded as prizes.

We are opposed to the inclusion of the word "offensive" in subsection (b)(3)(g), in connection with the Commission approval of the artwork. It is just too subjective and vague and doesn't provide meaningful guidance on what is considered offensive and what is not.

We seek clarification on the use of the word "occasion" in subsection (g)(2). This has to do with the occasion cash reports. Some organizations may not record all of the required information on a single report that may be captured on a schedule that rolls up

1 into the occasion cash report. We'd like confirmation that so long as 2 3 the information is captured in a schedule that then rolls into cash report, that the organization is in compliance with this requirement. Please confirm that 5 the daily schedule of prizes is part of the occasion 6 cash report. We also seek confirmation that if the 8 9 form number which is proposed in the language is on the 10 daily schedule of prizes, it satisfies the requirement of the form number actually being on the occasion cash 11 report. And if it doesn't satisfy the requirement, it 12 13 will require some organizations to have to now modify their reporting format, which is a cost to the 14 15 organizations. 16 402.301, we oppose the use of the word 17 "offensive" in subsection (b)(3) for the same reasons we 18 are opposed to it in 402.300. 19 402.303, we have no objection to the 20 deletion of subsection (b)(6). It is deleting obsolete 21 language. 2.2 We support the deletion of the language 23 in subsection (e) because it is eliminating unnecessary 24 paperwork.

402.324, we support the proposed

25

1 amendments because they eliminate unnecessary steps in 2 the card-minding system approval process. 402.325, we support the elimination of 3 the requirement in subsection (d)(2) of a player being 4 5 required to provide address and phone number on the refund for a void transaction because we believe it 6 protects the player's privacy. 8 We support the elimination of the 9 prohibition of reserving card-minding devices for 10 It is good business and develops player loyalty to be able to cater to regular players who lack 11 assurances that there will be a card-minder available 12

402.400, we propose amendments that would require the Commission to streamline its license review process. These amendments were not included in this rule making. We request the language we previously submitted as part of the rule review process be included.

Additionally, we request subsection (a)(3) be deleted. Subsection (a)(3) provides that an application is incomplete if the applicable license fee is not provided.

Currently the division takes the position that an application will be returned unprocessed if it

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

for them.

1 is incomplete. The problem is that if there's a 2 legitimate dispute regarding the fee amount, the 3 applicant has no meaningful way to challenge what the 4 division claims it owes. 5 Under the division's practice of 6 returning applications unprocessed if they are incomplete, this is actually inconsistent with subsection (e), which provides that the Commission will 8 9 notify an applicant if an application is incomplete. 10 402.404, we request that subsection (h)(2)(b), Refunds, be amended to make it clear that the 11 12 reference to any other outstanding bingo liabilities to 13 the state means liabilities that have been established. not simply by virtue of a notice of outstanding 14 liabilities due being sent. 15 16 Currently the division is issuing such 17 notices to licensees for alleged liabilities that in 18 some cases go back to the mid 1990's. If the division 19 cannot establish the liability through the source 2.0 documents that support the alleged liability, the current or former licensee should not be blocked from 21 2.2 receiving a refund. 23 402.407, we have no objection to the 24 proposed amendments. 25 402.411, we oppose the proposed amendment that shortens the time within which the division will accept a renewal application, which is currently 90 days and is now being proposed to be 60.

2.2

Additionally, we proposed amendments to provide the renewal application is not considered incomplete based on a failure to submit license renewal fee. As I stated earlier, the division's position is that it will return the application unprocessed if it's incomplete. The division has taken the position that a renewal application is incomplete if the renewal fee the division believes is the correct fee doesn't accompany the application.

The problem with this approach is that there are hard deadlines for license renewals. Missing a renewal deadline can cause either additional fees, meaning a late fee, or in the case of a grandfathered lessor license, an outright loss of the license which cannot now be obtained.

We also propose amendments that the Commission shall not delay issuance of a license renewal solely due to an obligation the Commission believes is delinquent unless the obligation has been finally determined to be delinquent. We request this language be included.

What is currently occurring at the

division highlights the need for this language. As I previously stated, the division is issuing these notices of outstanding liabilities for debts that, as I said, go back in some cases to the 1990's.

2.2

The division is giving the licensee 30 days to pay what it says the licensee owes, notwithstanding the fact that the division doesn't have the source documents to support its position. And if the licensee doesn't pay the alleged debt, the division is reporting these debts to the comptroller public accounts. The comptroller will place these persons on warrant hold, which means they can't receive a payment from the state.

By that way, the comptroller's position on having a report made to them is that placing the person on warrant hold is ministerial and they have no discretion, so it's left with the Commission.

Ultimately, I do believe the division will refer these obligations to the Attorney General. Then when the organization's license is up for renewal, the division will deny the license renewal application. We think this is wrong and fundamentally unfair because the division denied the person due process on first establishing that the debt or obligation existed, and we think the division should afford due process. And this

1 process should be a process separate and apart from the 2 license renewal process.

2.2

402.420, we request the Commission include language in the chart, which is part of the rule to clarify an organization must have had at least three years of tax exempt status before filing the application.

Currently I am aware of an application the division denied because the organization Habitable Spaces did not have three years tax exempt status. It did have tax exempt status at the time it submitted its application. I believe the division is applying a new interpretation of the Bingo Enabling Act license eligibility statutes for fraternal organizations.

And I do believe the earlier interpretation applied by the division was that an organization must obtain tax exempt status prior to the filing of its application to conduct bingo.

So applying a new interpretation to past applicants who relied on earlier interpretation in that they've paid a license fee which is now not going to be refunded, the Commission should put all interested persons on notice of its interpretation of license eligibility criteria so applicants don't pay a pretty substantial license fee of \$3,300 only to be denied

```
1
   because of an interpretation that they didn't have
   notice of.
                   402.424, we have no objection to the
 3
 4
   proposed amendments.
 5
                   402.451, no objection to the proposed
 6
   amendments.
 7
                   402.500, no objection to the proposed
8
   amendments.
9
                   402.506, we support the proposed
10
   amendments.
11
                   402.511, we support most of the proposed
                 Under subsection (d)(2) we propose
12
   amendments.
13
   amendments to eliminate the requirement that the
14
   perpetual inventory contain the distributor's name and
15
   taxpayer number because this is unnecessary and
16
   burdensome, and we request the deletion of this
17
   requirement.
18
                   402.514, we support the proposed
19
   amendments.
20
                   402.600, we support the proposed
21
   amendments.
2.2
                   402.602, we oppose the language in
23
   subsection (b) that the failure to produce documents
24
    supporting the delinquency determination does not limit
25
   the division's ability to collect the debt.
```

1 previously stated, this goes back to the issue of notice 2 of outstanding liabilities due for alleged debts with no 3 source documents to support the allegation. 4 By the way, in some cases these alleged 5 debts, they're for pennies -- they're actually pennies. 6 We request the language be added to this rule that the Commission may not pursue collecting on a debt it can't establish. And the Commission may not 8 deny a licensed application due to the Commission's 10 belief a debt is owed if it can't establish it. It's not fair for the Commission to 11 allege a debt, not be able to establish the debt, then 12 13 wait for the license renewal to deny the license renewal, which as a result of the license renewal shifts 14 15 the burden of proof to the application -- to the 16 applicant to prove it doesn't owe the debt. We think 17 that's just fundamentally unfair. 18 402.603, we don't oppose the proposed 19 amendments in principal, but we want the Commission to understand that there will be a financial impact on 20 21 licensees who have been paying their prize fees on time 2.2 and have a zero bond amount now to have to go get a bond, even if that bond amount is in the amount of \$100. 23 And this one I do want a take a moment to 24

say this was the -- probably the most controversial of

25

the rules that we discussed. And I really do appreciate the candor, the back and forth, the dialog on this by the staff and also by the industry stakeholders.

2.2

402.703, we support the amendment that allows field work to occur at the licensee's business office bingo premises, bookkeeper's office or accountant's office instead of a place the auditor identifies. But we also requested that the rule be revised to shorten the time an audit can remain open, which is now five years. Five years is just too long. The time frame should be much shorter.

402.706, we seek clarification on the proposed language in subsection (a), which seems to suggest that the Commission may impose sanctions on non-licensees and on folks who are not on the registered bingo workers.

If this is the Commission's position, we request the Commission articulate its authority for this position. We also oppose the increased sanction of a possible revocation of license for a first violation of the Game of Chance Rule. This seems very harsh.

The Commission's primary responsibility is to administer and regulate bingo so that bingo is fairly conducted and the proceeds derived from bingo are used for authorized purposes. The Commission should

- 1 focus on violations of the active rules that directly
- 2 relate to this responsibility in terms of establishing
- 3 more harsh penalties.
- 4 402.707, we support the proposed
- 5 | amendments.
- 6 402.708, we support the proposed
- 7 | amendments.
- New rule 402.403, we support the proposed
- 9 new rule. We appreciate the recognition by the Texas
- 10 Legislature and the Commission of the service to our
- 11 country by our veterans. And so we are, in fact, behind
- 12 | this rule.
- 13 And that does conclude my comments.
- 14 | Thank you.
- MR. BIARD: You'll be submitting that in
- 16 | writing as well?
- MS. KIPLIN: I'll be happy to, but you've
- 18 | got a court reporter. I'll be happy to. Thank you.
- 19 MR. BIARD: And next I have Steve
- 20 | Fenoglio.
- 21 MR. FENOGLIO: Good morning. For the
- 22 record, my name is Steve Fenoglio and I have some
- 23 | handouts.
- 24 THE REPORTER: Can I get your last name
- 25 | spelled, please.

1 MR. FENOGLIO: Sure. It's Steve 2 Fenoglio, F-e-n-o-g-l-i-o. 3 I represent the Texas Charity Advocates and its members and over 350 other charitable and 4 5 business organization involved directly in charitable 6 bingo. 7 I've handed out a series of documents, some of which are comparisons of what Texas Charity 8 Advocates and VFW and Bingo Interest Group submitted 10 during this extensive comment period, side by side with what the Commission rules have been published and 11 12 And I'm going to go through some of them in 13 detail. 14 These are some of the comments that, for 15 example, Ms. Ives said, we support what Bingo Interest 16 Group or Texas Charity Advocates support, so we've got 17 specific documentation. The same is true I believe of 18 Mr. Hutchings. And Mr. Clark made some of the same 19 comments. 20 So on 402.200, General Restrictions on 21 the Conduct of Bingo, there was a comment earlier about 2.2 replacement of bingo balls in the documentation. 23 don't see a good business reason for that requirement. 24 Specifically it's on page 1 of my handout, subparagraph 25 (b)(3), as well as (b)(5).

1 It is getting more into the detail of 2 what a charitable organization is doing. We don't think there's any compelling business reason for the 3 organization to have to maintain the documentation required, including for a period of 48 months. 5 6 On subparagraph (b)(6), you see our provision versus what the Charitable Bingo Division proposed or the Commissioners voted to adopt for 8 publication made available to the players upon request. 10 It is made available, but again, why are we having it in a rule? 11 12 This is a customer-business relationship, 13 it doesn't have anything to do with the regulation 14 aspect of it. 15 There was comment earlier by Mr. Bunkley 16 about raffle tickets, and that's subparagraph (f). 17 there's another rule that I'll get to in a moment, but I 18 want to put some meat on the bone on what that means. 19 I have a particular client in East Texas 20 that conducted a reverse raffle back in 2009, a series 21 of them. The benefit to the bottom line of the 2.2 charities in that situation was incredible. 23 Let me just give you some numbers. So 24 for a period of time -- for a week period of time before 25 the reverse raffle occurred, they ran numbers and they

found that they had an average attendance of 109 and an average deposit of \$2,849 per occasion. And they sold instant tickets of \$9,143.

During the week of the raffle, they went from 109 to 125 customers on average session for the week. Their deposits went up over \$2,200 for each and every session of that week.

Again, we're not just looking at one day because we know on business if you just look at one day, we run the reverse raffle on May 11th and we look at May 4th, you're not capturing enough because there may be some fall-off two days before, for example, the reverse raffle occurs, because you're bringing in a lot more people for the reverse raffle. So that's why we went with a week, doing a week comparison.

And by the way, we went the same time frame for a year before. The instant sales went up \$2,000. That was the first time they did it.

little better. 130 average attendance. Average deposit was \$5,945 versus \$2,900 for 15 sessions for that week. And in the instant sales on one particular day where they ran the reverse raffle, it was \$46,000 in instant sales. Instant ticket sales.

Again, average was \$9,300. That gives

The last time they did it, it was a

you an idea about what a reverse raffle will do when
properly conducted under the Charitable Raffle Enabling
Act and what it will mean for charities.

2.0

If the Commission wanted to do one thing to help charities, it would allow charities to offer as a merchandise prize a raffle ticket conforming to Occupations Code 2002 without question. And I have clients that have done the same thing in South Texas, in North Texas and in deep East Texas and the same results occurred.

It takes a little while to learn how to do it right. There's a lot of promotion and aggressive selling that has to occur, but if they do it right, the reward is substantial.

Do they have some fall-off on a couple of other days? Yes, they do. But the bottom line, again, when we look at a week comparison, big plus to the charities.

And I think it goes without saying, but the advantage of getting two to five more customers on an occasion basis is that money -- those sales, those incremental sales, go directly to the bottom line.

Why? Because all of the other costs are fixed with one exception, and that's the cost of the actual ticket, which can be pennies on the dollar, and

1 | is pennies on the dollar.

2

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

For example, a deal of tickets might cost \$80 to \$100 for 2,000 to 4,000 tickets. So if you're selling that many more, you see where the profit center is. That same notion of incremental sales is true for a restaurant, for a convenience store, for a Wal-Mart.

Wal-Mart stores, the largest retailer in the world, does not make any money the first 20 days of the month. It loses money because of all the fixed costs. It's those last ten days -- sometimes it may be the last day or two of the month that they actually turn a profit. And that is the case with retail customers all over the board all over the world.

We have some similar comments -- or not similar, but other comments that are similar to what others have said about utilizing a game schedule on page 4 of the handout. And then the announcements, the caller requirements on page 5.

We do appreciate that in that case, on (k)(4), the staff agreed with most of our comments and we appreciate that.

I also agree with the observations -- and I think Mr. Bresnen is going to speak on this -- of the \$50 games that Richard Bunkley stated on subparagraph (n). We believe the state of the law is very clear and

1 we think that subparagraph (n) needs to be amended. 2 We did not show that in our amendment. Ι will do a supplement on that. 3 Turning now to 402.210, we disagree with 4 5 the new language in subparagraph (e). 6 402.300, same observations I just made on reverse raffle concerning subparagraph (8)(a), the definition of merchandise. Same example. We think our 8 proposed amendments are superior to what the staff has 10 proposed. Although we do note and acknowledge that during the extensive give and take that occurred with I 11 12 quess four meetings, the staff agreed with many of our 13 comments and put language in there to that effect. 14 I also share Ms. Kiplin's observation on 15 subparagraph (b)(3)(g), what is offensive. The 16 observation is, you know, what's offensive to me may not 17 be offensive to Bob Biard; and likewise, what's 18 offensive to Bob Biard may not be offensive to me. 19 it seems to be an extremely gray area for what that 20 means. 21 By the way, we do support the language 2.2 striking alcoholic beverage and weapons. That was a 23 comment I made over 12 years ago. And we're glad to see 24 that Mr. Royal recognized that those alcoholic beverage

symbols are on Lottery scratch off tickets. And so it

1 ain't fair if the Lottery can do it -- by the way, 2 bingo's biggest competitor could do it, but the charities could not. 3 We would also, on the same comment or 4 same subsection as determined by the Commission, we'd 5 like to have a procedure in place where there could be 6 an expedited challenge to that. For example, if a group of charities or distributor worked with the 8 manufacturers to submit a ticket and it was rejected. 10 We'd like to have a procedure in place where there could be an expedited quasi judicial process in place to reach 11 a determination. Today there is not. 12 13 We think the time has come for bar codes, 14 which is on page 8 of my handout. So many other 15 products, as a matter of fact, in most retail 16 establishments today the only way that you can ring up a 17 sale is with a bar code. And if you don't have a bar 18 code on your merchandise, it shuts the retail cashier 19 down while they go chase and determine what the 2.0 appropriate bar code is. 21 There's another reference to bar code on 22 subparagraph 9. And we'll provide some additional 23 written comments on that in order to save time. 24 Subparagraph 402.325, again, we provided 25 a handout on our changes versus what the -- what was

published. And I want to highlight subparagraph (d)(3), the language "unless the player refuses, the player must write his or her name," etc.

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

In discussions with staff, we also agreed to delete the words, "address and telephone number," which we're glad to see the staff listened to us and struck. But we would like to have the language "unless the player refuses" because players will refuse.

And, again, this is a situation between the customer and the merchant, in this case the charitable bingo licensee conducting bingo shouldn't concern the Commission.

402.400, General Licensing Provisions. We think it's appropriate for the language that we submitted in subparagraph (a), the Commission would review its basic procedures, its applications, its instructions and schedules and update them. Annually preferably.

We also have some additional language in subparagraph (d) that we support. Again, we'd like to get the licensing process expedited. And Mr. Royal has done that to a significant degree, but we think it can be made even better.

402.411, License Renewal. And I made some additional comments -- I made some similar comments

```
1
    to what I'm going to make to the commissioners that I'm
 2
    going to make here today. And I've attached, Bob and
    Alfonso, the handout I provided that puts meat on the
 3
    bone on this issue of the Commission spreadsheet showing
    that there's a liability due.
 5
 6
                   And then the charity has a Hobson's
    choice, they can pay what the spreadsheet says. Bear in
    mind, there's no underlying documentation, and you can
    see that by the attachment I handed out, because we
10
    asked. We paid the funds under protest, $7.92.
11
    asked for the supporting documentation. It took us
12
    three times requesting it before we actually got it.
13
    And what we got is a spreadsheet with no underlying
14
    documentation.
15
                   In discussions with staff initially they
16
    said, "Well, we wrote three different hot checks."
17
                   I don't know that -- I represented the
18
    Arc of the Capital Area for 20-something areas, I've
19
    been a board chair, I've been a board member for over
2.0
    ten, a board chair for three, and I'm unaware of any
21
    insufficient funds check they've ever written.
2.2
    certainly the Commission didn't have any documentation
    in it. It was just, "Well, the spreadsheet says you owe
23
24
    $7.92, so pay it or else."
25
                   And the "or else" is you lose your
```

- 1 license, or you go to hearing on \$7.92.
- 2 And to put this in the proper frame work,
- 3 | I have a tongue in cheek exhibit that I want to go
- 4 through. And this is what it would look like from the
- 5 state accountancy board to send a letter to one of your
- 6 commissioners that says, "Our spreadsheet shows you owe
- 7 \$278 going back to 1998. Pay it or else."
- 8 You can imagine what Commissioner
- 9 | Candelario would say.
- 10 By the way, in order to refute that you'd
- 11 | have to have documentation. Who keeps records going
- 12 back to '98?
- The second issue is a letter to Ms. Heeq,
- 14 who has been licensed by the State Bar for over 30
- 15 years. And she owes \$1,081 going back to 1997. These
- 16 | are made up examples. But surely you have documentation
- 17 | going back to 1997 to show that you paid the appropriate
- 18 | fees to the State Bar.
- 19 And I'm smiling at Bob because Bob is
- 20 | licensed -- and I think he's licensed about the same
- 21 | time I am. I was licensed in '85, so it may have been a
- 22 | couple years later, but I don't have any documentation
- 23 | for the State Bar dues that I paid. And this is the
- 24 | same issue.
- 25 The next example is Chairman Krause, and

1 he owes \$2,096 since '87 on his bar license. And on his 2 accountant's license, he is a CPA, and he goes back to 3 '81, he owes \$62. And if you don't pay, we're not going to you give your license. 5 The final examples are Doug Lowe, who was licensed I believe in '97. He owes \$759 going back to 6 7 183. And then the final example is Ms. Pyka, 8 9 the controller, she is a CPA, and she owes \$1,230 from '93 to '95. 10 I would suggest to you that no one of 11 these individuals has any documentation going back that 12 13 Nonetheless, that's what they're confronted with far. 14 when they get a letter in the case of the Arc that you 15 owe money going back many years ago, and you can either 16 pay it or forfeit your license. We suggest that that 17 would be offensive to any reasonable person and any

18 reasonable taxpayer. And we think the process ought to 19 stop.

You will also recall that I handed out in one of my initial handouts the penny request going back And how do you have documentation to show you to 1997. did or didn't pay it?

402.511, Required Inventory Records. We've deleted several requirements in the rule because

20

21

22

23

24

we think it's irrelevant information because the 1 2 information is already available in other sources. And 3 it's a trap for the unweary -- or for the weary. 402.602, Waiver of Penalty. The same is 4 true for the example I gave for the Arc of the Capital 5 Under subparagraph (b) language, and we believe 6 that the Commission should provide all supporting documentation that it has. And if it doesn't have 8 9 anything other than a spreadsheet, then the Commission 10 can't -- under a classic litigation case the Commission can't meet its own burden of proof. So why is it out 11 sending letters saying "pay up or else." 12 13 402.703, I agree with the comments 14 earlier on the audit policy. One suggestion is under 15 page 3, subparagraph (d)(4), timely completion of the 16 audit. And we also have some language in subparagraph 17 (d) that we believe should be put into bingo. 18 And then under subparagraph (e), the last 19 several sentences -- and this came up in an audit where 2.0 a charity in San Antonio was confronted during an audit, and the auditor said, "We believe you're in violation of 21 2.2 a rule." And the charity disputed that. 23 The audit hung around for two years --24 and this was before Mr. Royal came on. And then they

were going to sanction the charity, notwithstanding

1 there was never any documentation about what was needed 2 to correct the problem.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

And so our suggestion is the language that we provided is during an audit, if an auditor believes a licensee has not complied with one of the administrative rules, then they should be notified in writing. That way two years later you don't get penalized for multiple violations.

Now, Mr. Royal in some conversations has suggested, well, wait a minute I don't know that I want the auditor on the fly to make that call. And we understand that because the decision should rest on his shoulders, nonetheless the concept still applies within a short period of time, the auditor should have a conversation with senior staff; and if the decision is, yes, this is, in fact, a violation, then they should give some timely notice to the licensee so that they can if they choose to agree, correct the situation there and not wait for two years down the road and then the Commission holds over them the fact that for two years each and every day there's been a violation. penalty matrix says we can establish a penalty of \$50 a day or \$500 a day, and threaten the charity that if you don't write the check, we'll go to hearing.

And if it's a \$500 a day penalty for two

audit report, and this has been a complicating factor when I've gotten involved in an audit, is that there's -- a draft audit report is issued and it says that a charity has violated certain provisions. And they send it to me, and during this process the auditor has requested and has obtained all of the documentation from the charity, for a quarter or a year. And I'm asking the charity, "Well, let me see the documentation so that I can determine if I believe it's a violation."

And so I ask the client, the charity, "Where's your documentation?"

"The agency has it."

And so it's difficult for me or impossible to decide whether I agree or disagree with the auditor's findings because there's no documentation. And so our language is provide us with that specific documentation. We're not asking that you provide us with a copy of the audit, the entire three months worth, whether it's a quarterly audit or an annual report, but the substantive documentation that would either prove the auditor's case that there's a violation or that I can look it at and say I agree or disagree. It's just

1 common sense.
2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

etc. And you can see on the right-hand side of my handout the language supplements. I've looked at it and

written in quarterly reports supplements and payments,

402.600, throughout this the staff has

6 I attached the current application for a quarterly

7 report for charity and I don't see the word "supplement"

8 anywhere. And this is as of, by the way, 5/11 of 16.

And my comment on this is, "Well, what is a supplement? How does a charity know?" The Texas

Bingo Quarterly Report form ID-68 is a two-page

document. And there's a form ID-129 that is a

charitable distribution's detail. And there's no word

"supplement" anywhere here.

So what is it you're talking about? Are we going so see new attachments or new forms? We'd like to know what they are before we agree or disagree.

We've also struck the language on page 3, enumerated 3 of subparagraph E for the same reasons that we've discussed in this case, that we don't think we should be denying a bingo application or revoking a license under that situation.

We do agree with the language in subparagraph (m). And I'll pay a shout out to James, who haw now left for greener pastures at the Governor's

office for coming up with the notion of rounding. We think that is a better language than ours. He said he pulled it off the IRS website, which is good because in a prior case because of rounding the division wanted the charities at River City Bingo to basically rely on their quarterly report to round up and they refused. So this solves that problem.

2.2

My last handout was the July 17 letter from the Commission to the Jewish Women International. And that was the one penny for the fourth quarter of 1996. I think if we had a rounding, we wouldn't have had this issue. But the same comment on the Arc proves this point for the Arc equally and its comments on rules 402.600, 602 and 411.

I've thought a lot about this ledger project, and it seems to me that one thing the Commission could do is put, which is similar to what's required on the quarterly report, is a statement that says, "I declare that the information in this document is true and correct," so that we know who's making that statement and we could actually go ask that person how they know.

That ledger project doesn't have -- my suspicion is for over 90 percent there's no underlying documentation. If the shortage, let's call it that,

occurred in the last four years, I fully expect the
Charitable Bingo Division to have records to support
that. And those records could be provided. But if it
goes back more than five years, having filed open
records request recently, the division says under our
document destruction policy, I guess it's five years,
those records go away.

And as I stated earlier, well, the bingo rules require charities to keep records for four years.

Most charities to my knowledge keep them for five in its growing destruction policy. In the case of the Arc of the Capital Area or Jewish Women International, they don't have any records.

And so, again, under the concept of fair case in court or in SOAH, the Office of Administrative Hearings, the agency could not prevail because they don't have any underlying documentation. What they have is a spreadsheet that they're not even sure who authored all of the spreadsheet. And so we think that we ought to stop the process.

Now, finally -- and I agree with Ms. Kiplin's observation on the bond issue. And we've had a fair amount of discussion in the series of meetings -- actually even one meeting before we started this rule review process on 402.603.

1 And Ms. Shankel at the time thought it would be a good idea for all charities to have a bond in 2 the amount of initially two quarters of what their 3 liability would be, which would be 60 to \$100,000. I asked her at the time, "Do you have any idea what a 5 bond like that would cost?" Answer no. 6 7 "Do you know of any companies that require a bond like that?" 8 "Well, shouldn't you know before you have 10 this requirement?" 11 And I'm glad to see that Mr. Royal said, no, we're not going to do that for people who were on 12 13 the good guy list. And that's good, what's been In the case of the five charities 14 proposed, the \$100. 15 at River City Bingo, they would pay a \$500 cash bond and 16 be done with it. Actually, it wouldn't be a bond, it 17 would just be \$500. 18 For a new charity coming in, that would 19 be \$7,000 if it's operating in what I call a commercial hall that's usually conducting at least 14 sessions a 20 21 If you've got five charities, that's \$35,000. 2.2 I've had some discussions with insurance 23 companies, and some insurance companies will write a 24 \$7,000 bond for a charity that they have an established 25 business relationship with. Others if they don't have

1 it, they want financials. 2 We've asked, "Well, what specifically are you looking for?" Well, standard income statement 3 balance sheet. Well, what's the threshold by which 5 you'll say yes to a \$7,000 bond or no? They don't tell 6 And others have said the only way we'll write that bond is if someone gives a personal guarantee, that means in the case of a nonprofit, they've got to find a 10 board member who is willing to write a personal 11 guarantee. And in some instances they then ask for the financials for that individual. You can see the problem 12 13 that can occur. 14 Highlight that with what I requested from 15 this division, how much money has the state -- the 16 Lottery Commission collected from the charities since 17 2001 in prize fees? Almost \$369,000 -- I'm sorry --18 \$360,000,000. 19 During that period of time I also asked 20 how many times have y'all sued a charity, have you made 21 a referral to the Attorney General for collection? 2.2 None. 23 Collecting almost \$370,000,000 with zero 24 liability in that 15-year period, so part of me is why 25 are we even having a discussion other than a nominal

amount that Mr. Royal has correctly pointed out the statute does require a bond in the language, some sort of guarantee that the money will be paid. But if you look at it from the standpoint of exposure, you're collecting \$369,000,000 and you've had zero losses -- Las Vegas would like to be in on that game and all of Wall Street would like to be in on that game based on virtually zero risk.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And I understand that there have been some recent examples where one or two locations have failed to pay, not surprising. But what is shocking is you get \$369,000,000 for 15 years and you have zero liability.

As I said, we'll be filing some additional written comments. I appreciate your time. I'll be happy to respond to any questions.

And I do appreciate, Mr. Royal, your attention to this and your staff's attention. It is an extraordinary undertaking. And I just wish that James could be here to see the finished product, although I'm sure he's glad he's not here.

MR BIARD: I agree with that part. Can I ask you a question? And this is mainly perhaps for written comments later on. Perhaps you don't have an opinion on it, but the Bingo Act, 2001.416, Other Games,

1 allows a raffle conducted under Chapter 2002 during a 2 bingo occasion. And we have an AG opinion JC480, which 3 says a raffle ticket can't be offered as a prize in a 4 5 bingo game. Can those be reconciled? 6 MR. FENOGLIO: I don't see how you can. It seems to me that section 2001.416 is clear on its face as to what's allowed, with the exception if someone 8 is conducting a raffle not under the Charitable Raffle 10 Enabling Act, Chapter 2002 of Texas Occupations Code. But short of that, it seems 416 is directly telling the 11 Commission a charitable raffle is an approved game of 12 chance -- or is not considered a game of chance under 13 14 that prohibition. 15 So when the opinion was handed out, it made no sense to me. When we had this discussion, we 16 17 looked at it again and it makes no sense. 18 I understand Mr. Cornyn's language -- I 19 mean, you can read it and it makes sense from the 20 English language, but the conclusion is crazy for just 21 the simple reasons of what the Bingo Enabling Act 2.2 says. 23 Thank you. Okay. And the MR BIARD: last commenter is Steve Bresnen. 24 25 Thank you very much. MR. BRESNEN: Му

1 name is Steve Bresnen and I'm here on behalf of the 2 Bingo Interest Group. I want to compliment Alfonso Royal and James and other members of the staff, Ms. Glenn, and others who participated in these work It's a long and tedious line by line process, 5 but you're willing to do it and we very much appreciate 6 7 it. 8 I'm going to supply extra written 9 comments on some rules that I'm not going to address in 10 the interest of brevity. Just to supplement your discussion about the raffle issue, Bob, the Bingo 11 12 Enabling Act also says -- and I don't have the provision 13 right here in front of me, but it says pull-tab bingo 14 may be conducted under rules allowed by the Commission. 15 I think that's a very broad grant of 16 authority. And I see no conflict between anything else 17 in the Act and that broad grant of authority to be able 18 to authorize a raffle ticket as a prize. So not only do 19 I think there's a conflict between 416, but there's a conflict in the narrowing of the Commission's authority 20 21 under the broad grant of authority to conduct -- to 2.2 allow the conduct of pull-tab bingo. 23 So just hitting tree tops real quick, on 402.200 there's much in that rule to support, but 24 25 overall we oppose it because of some of the absence of

1 some provisions that either that we wanted in it or the 2 way some of the provisions were executed. Do I assume that the documents that 3 Mr. Fenoglio gave, including the side by side that 4 included the TCA Bingo Interest Group and to some extent 5 the VFW proposals will be included in the record as part 6 of our comments? 8 MR BIARD: Yes. 9 So if it's on the left side MR. BRESNEN: 10 of that document, we are for it. And to the extent that it's not reflected on the right side of the document, we 11 would urge reconsideration of the items on the left. 12 13 With respect to 402.200, subsection 14 (b)(3) and (5), this relates to the inspection of the 15 bingo balls, this is one of those deals where people are 16 doing it, but it basically serves no public policy 17 You're not getting anything out of this. purpose. 18 customers are not getting anything out of it. And it's 19 just an example of a trap. 20 If you don't do one day or you failed to 21 note that you did it one day or you don't say who did it 2.2 one day, then, you know, you're in the ditch or you're in violation of the rules. If there were some benefit 23

to it, that would be fine. But nobody I know who is

actually in a bingo hall doing the work everyday

24

1 believes that there's any benefit whatsoever to the 2 public or to the Commission in terms of its regulatory 3 So we would urge our suggested amendments to those two subsections. We've already talked about 402.200 (f), 5 6 so I won't go into that. 7 402.200 (f)(4) and (5) has to do with the game schedules. It makes no sense to first require a 8 game schedule and then say, but if you deviate from it, 10 you just have to document that you deviated from it and to still have a rule that requires the game schedule to 11 begin with, because all you're doing is asking people to 12 13 put something on the wall. They know based on market 14 conditions, preferences of the players, fewer players 15 show up that day, all the conditions that exist in the 16 marketplace, that there are going to be variations from 17 the schedule, and all they have to do is note them, 18 which they're going to do if that rule would simply say 19 that the charity must keep a record of all items sold and prizes paid, all of the other documentation that's 20 21 currently required will support that and the Commission 22 will know what games were actually conducted and under 23 what conditions. 24 Now, nearest and dearest to my heart is 402.200 (n). 25

Mr. Royal, I would request that the
letter from Chairman Thompson and former Senator Leticia
Van De Putte be entered into the record. If I need to
do it myself just for procedural reasons, I would glad
to do it because I think it supports my position. And I
would direct the Commission to the Bingo Enabling Act in
section 2001.420. I think Mr. Bunkley misspoke earlier
and said 2001.402, but it's 420.

And I would note that it says that prizes of \$50 or less don't count towards the \$2,500 cap in bingo games that award individual prizes. If the word "actual" was before award, it would not change the meaning of that sentence. It's awarded. Prizes awarded.

The existing rule can be read in only one way. And that is prizes offered. The legislature would have chosen the word "offered" if it meant offered. And what it said was awarded.

Under any circumstance, you're going to have a record of the prize actually awarded. And the correspondence from Chairman Thompson and Senator Van De Putte reflect this fact. So to the extent you had to bring legislative intent into it, I believe everything about the passage of this would support what I'm saying primarily because I worked like a dog to get

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1 this passed. And so, you know, to the extent I can 2 contribute to legislative intent, there it is. But the statutory rule of construction is 3 you don't deviate, you don't need to go to legislative 4 intent if the plain language of the statute supports --5 is clear and unambiguous, and I believe it is clear and 6 unambiguous in that regard. 8 So we would like to see in final rule 9 402.200 (n) to be amended in the manner that I have 10 delivered and with the language that I delivered in the work group meeting, which I think might have been the 11 12 last work group meeting. 13 Again, if I need to submit that again with written comments, let me know. Otherwise, I would 14 15 request that it be part of the record. 16 Not to be completely negative about 17 402.200, we support subsections (b)(6), (e), (f), (i), 18 (k)(4) and (o). 19 In 402.210, I would echo what others have 20 said. We're a little bit concerned about the phrase "easily accessible" for the posting of the house rules, 21 22 just because your staff person in Odessa may have a 23 different view of what's easily accessible than say the 24 staff person in East Texas. We think that's going to 25 cause administrative problems for y'all and headaches

1 | for the organizations.

2.2

We support providing the house rules to the inspectors -- I mean to the customers and the inspectors when they come in so the house rules are known.

402.300, a great deal has been done in that rule that we support that should broaden the array of products that are available for use in conducting bingo. With respect to pull-tabs, we strongly support those things where we're getting some of the micro-management detail out of the way. We very much appreciate y'all doing that.

I want to raise a concern -- and we'll do this in writing as well, but if you look at the way 402.300 (e) is worded, it's not clear that the existing authority to sell pull-tabs during an intermission, instant pull-tabs, is maintained.

There is a provision in that area of the rule that says that event tickets can be sold during an intermission, but there's no corresponding authority for that during an intermission involving instant pull-tab tickets.

I am told that this may be because there is no intermission in terms of the license times, that they may butt up together. But it would be helpful I

think if we would all take a deep breath and look at
what we're doing there, it's kind of a complicated
section. We don't want to restrict the organizations
from being able to do anything that they're currently
doing, and we don't believe that that was the intention
of the amendments of this rule.

And by the way, those amendments were in our side of the rule as well. So I think we all need to stop and look at what we're doing. Ms. Kiplin and Mr. Fenoglio, the real lawyers in the room, will know how to look at it and decide whether anybody is in jeopardy or not on that.

I hate to bring it up because I don't want y'all to duck below the desks up there, but the Commission issued a letter saying that video confirmation of instant pull-tabs was within its authority and was legal. We made the recommendation that that be included to go beyond event tickets, it's not included in the Commission's proposals, and we would like to see that restored. And we would like to see also restored relative to our proposals. And also the use of bar codes on those products.

We very much appreciate the amendment to 402.325 that allows reservation of card-minders. This is another example of a rule that's been around since

the beginning of time -- or the beginning of

card-minders any way that made sense at the time because

of the limitations in the market. There weren't enough

card-minders available. Now we seem to be drowning in

card-minders.

So striking that and allowing a loyal customer to be shown some deference by reserving their seat and their access to the card-minder is an excellent thing to do.

402.400, we don't object to what's in the proposal, but there were some things that we'd like to have in it. I understand the concern about that there are management decisions that go into the time frames within which licenses and renewals are handled, but at times past things have gone on and on and on and on.

And while the current administration has shown a sensitivity towards that, Mr. Royal is not going to live forever and neither am I, so we'd like some consideration at the commissioner level.

And if you're not going to amend the rule, then we would like for you to actively promulgate some policies that are known and communicated to the public that you'll take certain steps within certain amounts of time. That way it won't be necessarily enforceable by a rule, but you'll at least have some

metrics that your performance can be judged by.

2.0

And I think the performance standards in the appropriations bill may be -- that method of gauging your performance may be about to go out the window. So if that's the case, you're going to be sitting here with no metrics and nothing but a bunch of anecdotes of people who are not happy because their applications were not promptly addressed.

402.511, I support the comments that other people make. You can streamline this rule and not require the inclusion of things in this record that are available by other documentation that's required to be kept. And all it does to require it to be transposed is allow -- somebody is going to make a typo, somebody is going to forgot to carry something over, when there is an ample audit capability there because the records are kept in another place.

402.602, this is the second nearest and dearest to my heart. It should go without saying that if you cannot make a case, that you should not require it. Otherwise, you're asking for money from these charities — it's not the lessor you're asking money for. On a rare occasion there may be some rental tax or something, but that's going away. It's these charities you're going to take the money from.

1 And if you can't make the case, then you 2 shouldn't be trying to collect the money. Otherwise, I've got some people that are calling members of my 3 family right now and trying to get them to pay money on 4 debts that they don't owe. It's just a phishing scam. 5 And if you guys can't make the case, you're not really 6 doing much better than these scamsters on the internet and on the telephone trying to collect this money. And also now I've become aware -- I don't 10

And also now I've become aware -- I don't want to speak for anybody, especially since they're not in the room, but I've become aware that some of these amounts are quite large from the '90s and might very well be worth bringing a lawsuit on behalf of. And the money in term of cost of litigation for the agency, that money s going to get eaten up in a deposition.

So I know the commissioners have asked y'all to look at this, and I hope you'll look at it very diligently because it's kind of outrageous.

Although it is the best thing I've got going for me up at the capital building right now.

With respect to audit policy, I just can't believe you can leave an audit open for five years. Whether that's actually happened or not -- I know in the past it's gone on and on and on. And I would urge the commissioners -- not you, Mr. Royal, but

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

the commissioners to look at this. And if nothing else,

put a shorter date in there to show people out here that

they expect that their business will be done in a more

reasonable time. And that's 402.703, the audit policy.

And I would agree with what -- I believe

it was Ms. Kiplin said about 402.706, the reference to

it was Ms. Kiplin said about 402.706, the reference to other persons. We don't know what other persons other then licensees and registrants that would be subject to sanction by the Commission or that could be referred to in that schedule of sanctions. And so we think it ought to be explicit as to who other persons are.

If you don't mind, just give me just one minute. I'm going to hit just a couple of other things without citing the rules by number.

I really appreciate what you've done on merchandise prizes. We've made good progress there. To the extent we think it could have gone a little further, we'll follow up with written comments.

As a strong believer in the 2nd amendment, we appreciate you eliminating the prohibition against having any picture of weapons on pull-tabs.

As an appreciator of fine Italian wines, I appreciate you including alcoholic beverages in what can show on pull-tabs.

I very much appreciate on behalf of all

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

```
1
    the folks and all the veterans organizations that
   participate at the locations of the Bingo Interest Group
   members the military -- the new rule on the military.
                   I think if you could, I'd like to see you
 4
    stretch that to include where maybe the licensee, if
 5
    it's a corporation that's wholly owned by a licensee who
 6
   would otherwise meet the standards in a rule, that that
   be expanded.
 8
                   If you don't think that -- you follow
10
   what I'm talking about? If you don't think the
    legislation would allow you to do that, then we will go
11
   up and take a stab at that.
12
13
                   You have a troubled look on your face,
14
   you want me to explain? Because I may misunderstand --
15
                   MR. ROYAL:
                               No.
                                    I understand
16
   whole-heartedly.
17
                                  Okay.
                                         Thank you. You need
                   MR. BRESNEN:
18
    to strike the word "offensive" in the pull-tab rule.
19
    don't know how in the world you're ever going to enforce
20
    that if somebody wants to fight about it.
21
                   And I especially appreciate addressing
22
    the rounding issue in 402 -- I believe it's 600.
23
                   That concludes my comments. I appreciate
24
    you all giving us ample time to make our record today.
   And we will -- we will come back to it and have some
25
```

1 additional comments. 2 I would expect that most of the additional written comments that I would provide would 3 be in support of those parts of the rules that we didn't address today with a few exceptions. 5 6 MR BIARD: Thank you. Okay. That is all the witness affirmation forms I have. 8 I'm going to ask for -- there is a 9 transcript being made of this, so I'm going to ask for 10 an expedited transcript, which I imagine we'll probably 11 have the first part of next week for those of you that would like a copy of that. 12 13 And, you know, I also look forward to 14 getting written comments as well. And we'll go look at 15 all of them, and as the law requires, we will summarize 16 them and respond to them in a document that we bring 17 before the Commission for adoption. 18 Unless anybody else wishes to speak --19 does anybody else have anything to say? 20 (No response.) 21 Then I will adjourn this MR BIARD: 2.2 hearing at 11:40. Thank you for coming. 23 (Proceedings concluded at 11:40 a.m.) 2.4 25

1 STATE OF TEXAS 2. REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 3 4 I, Geneva Garcia, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in 5 and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that the 6 7 foregoing contains a true and correct transcription of 8 all portions of the above-referenced meeting to be included in the transcript of said meeting before me, and were reported by me and were thereafter reduced to 10 11 writing to the best of my ability. 12 Given under my hand and seal of office on this the 13 26th day of May, 2016. 14 15 16 17 18 GENEVA G. GARCIA, TEXAS CSR #5222 Expiration Date: 12-31-17 19 Firm Registration #631 Kim Tindall & Associates, LLC 20 16414 San Pedro Avenue, Suite 900 San Antonio, TX 78232 21 Phone: (210) 697-3400 22 23 24 25

May 11, 2016 Index: \$1,081..20-something

	\$7.92 41:10,24 42:1	(h)(5) 21:11
\$	\$75,000 6:13	(i) 22:4 58:17
\$1,081 42:15	\$759 43:6	(k)(4) 21:15 37:20 58:18
\$1,200 18:1	\$80 37:3	(I)(3) 11:17
\$1,230 43:9	\$9,143 35:3	(m) 47:24
\$100 6:25 7:1,2,3 8:4 17:19 30:23 37:3 50:14	\$9,300 35:25	(n) 21:17 37:25 38:1 56:25 58:9 (o) 21:16 58:18
\$100,000 50:4	(
\$11,612 6:16	(4) 9:8 17:18	1
\$150 6:25	(5) 9:4 17:8 20:11 21:2,15 55:14	1 16:24 33:24
\$17,464,772 6:21	56:7	109 35:1,5
\$2,000 35:18	(8)(a) 38:7	10:02 3:4
\$2,096 43:1	(a) 31:13 40:15	11 6:8
\$2,200 35:6	(a)(3) 24:21	11:40 66:22,23
\$2,500 15:24 16:15 21:19 57:10	(b) 29:23 44:6	11th 3:4 35:10
\$2,849 35:2	(b)(2) 16:4	12 38:23
\$2,900 35:21	(b)(3) 9:1 20:10 23:17 33:25 55:14	125 35:5
\$20,164,772 6:21	(b)(3)(g) 22:16 38:15	130 35:20
\$200 6:24	(b)(5) 33:25	14 50:20
\$278 42:7	(b)(6) 20:18 23:20 34:6 58:17	14th 4:19
\$3,300 28:25	(c)(4) 12:17	15 35:21 52:12
\$3,700,764 6:11	(d) 40:20 44:17	15-year 51:24
\$30,175,393 6:18	(d)(2) 24:4 29:12	16 3:19 8:21 47:8
\$35,000 50:21	(d)(3) 9:24 40:1	16630 5:3
\$360,000,000 51:18	(d)(4) 44:15	17 48:8
\$369,000 51:17	(e) 9:21 21:15 23:23 25:8 38:5	1982 14:23
\$369,000,000 52:5,12	44:18 58:17 59:15	1990's 25:18 27:4
\$370,000,000 51:23	(e)(2) 10:24	1996 48:11
\$46,000 35:23	(f) 21:15 34:16 56:5 58:17	1997 42:15,17 43:22
\$5 11:23	(f)(2) 22:3	1998 42:7
\$5,945 35:21	(f)(4) 56:7	1990 42.7
\$50 7:1,2 15:17,25 16:7 17:19 21:18,20 37:24 45:22 57:10	(g)(1) 46:3 (g)(2) 22:22	2
\$500 45:23,25 50:15,17	(g)(7) 22:4	2,000 37:3
\$51,494 6:15	(h)(2)(b) 25:11	2.7 6:7
\$62 43:3	(h)(3) 21:15	20 18:6 37:8
\$7,000 50:19,24 51:6	(h)(4) 9:6 17:8 21:2,8	20-something 41:18

		Index: 200/0
200 3:20	402 3:19 65:22	64:4
2001 51:17	402.103 3:20 20:4,6	402.706 31:12 64:6
2001.402 57:8	402.200 8:23 14:19 15:17 16:22	402.707 32:4
2001.416 52:25 53:7	20:8 21:15 33:20 54:24 55:13 56:5, 7,25 58:9,17	402.708 12:14 32:6
2001.420 57:7	402.200(f) 17:5	404 3:21
2002 36:7 53:1,10	402.200(n) 16:2	407 3:21
2009 34:20	402.203 21:24	41 4:21,22
201.402 15:20 16:4	402.205 22:2	411 3:21 48:14
2015 6:8	402.210 9:20 13:13 22:5 38:4	416 53:11 54:19
2016 3:4	58:19	420 3:21 57:8
202.200 17:8	402.300 14:19,20 22:10 23:18 38:6	424 3:22
203 3:20	59:6,15	451 3:22
205 3:20	402.301 23:16	48 20:14 34:5
21 18:7,16	402.303 23:19	4th 35:11
210 3:20	402.324 23:25	
29 3:17	402.325 9:24 13:20 24:3 39:24 60:24	5
29th 4:20	402.400 24:14 40:13 61:10	5 37:18
2nd 64:19	402.401 10:8	5/11 47:8
	402.403 32:8	500 3:22
3	402.404 6:4 25:10	504 3:22
3 44:15 47:18,19	402.407 25:23	506 3:22
30 27:5 42:14	402.41 10:18	511 3:22
300 3:20	402.410 10:16	514 3:22
301 3:21	402.411 25:25 40:24	55 4:13
303 3:21	402.413 3:24 4:13	
3050 4:21	402.420 28:3	6
3067 4:22	402.424 29:3	60 26:3 50:4
31st 5:1	402.451 29:5	600 3:22 65:22
324 3:21	402.500 29:7	602 3:22 48:14
325 3:21	402.506 10:21 29:9	603 3:23
350 33:4	402.511 11:9 29:11 43:24 62:9	
	402.514 29:18	7
4	402.600 11:16 29:20 47:2 48:14	703 3:23
4 37:17	402.602 11:25 18:1 29:22 44:4	706 3:23
4,000 37:3	62:18	707 3:23
400 3:21	402.603 30:18 49:25	708 3:23
	402.703 12:4 13:22 31:4 44:13	

May 11, 2016 Index: 78761..application

78761 5:3 active 4:16 32:1 **air** 14:15 alcoholic 38:22,24 64:23 actively 61:21 8 **Alfonso** 3:13 9:9 41:3 54:2 actual 36:25 57:12 add 9:24 20:13 allegation 30:3 8 39:14 added 30:6 allege 30:12 **81** 43:3 adding 12:17 alleged 25:17,20 27:9 30:2,4 **83** 43:7 addition 4:24 allocations 6:19 **85** 42:21 additional 26:15 39:22 40:19,25 allowed 15:6 53:8 54:14 **87** 43:1 52:15 66:1,3 allowing 8:10 14:10,21 15:2 16:17 Additionally 24:20 26:4 9 address 5:2 10:13 24:5 40:5 54:9 amazing 7:21 15:6 9 39:22 66:5 ambulance 10:2 90 26:2 48:24 addressed 62:8 amend 21:13 61:20 **90s** 63:12 addresses 21:21 amended 17:5 25:11 38:1 58:9 93 43:10 addressing 20:2,19 65:21 amendment 10:16 17:4 20:5,18 **95** 43:10 adjourn 66:21 22:2,13 25:25 31:4 38:2 60:23 64:20 **97** 43:6 administer 31:23 amendments 3:17.25 4:19.21 98 42:12 administration 14:10 61:16 8:25 10:19,23 11:11 12:3,12,16 administrative 3:19 6:14 45:6 19:1,8,18,20,22,25 20:8 21:1,14,25 Α 49:15 58:25 22:11 24:1,14,16 25:24 26:4,19 29:4,6,8,10,12,13,19,21 30:19 adopt 4:15 34:8 32:5,7 38:9 56:3 60:6,7 **a.m.** 66:23 adoption 66:17 **American** 5:25 8:1,12 ability 14:13 29:25 advantage 36:20 amount 16:5 25:2 30:22,23 49:23 absence 3:11 54:25 50:3 52:1 **Advocates** 10:9,23 11:10,19 12:2, abusive 7:13 11,15 16:24 18:15 33:3,9,16 amounts 61:24 63:12 accept 18:13 26:2 Advocates' 8:24 10:19 ample 62:16 65:24 accepted 19:19,23 20:11 affirmation 5:11,12 66:7 **AMVETS** 13:11 16:21 accepting 4:25 afford 7:18 27:25 anecdotes 62:6 **access** 61:8 **AG** 53:3 announced 21:20 accessible 9:23 13:15 22:6 58:21, agencies 4:14 7:14 announcement 3:7 agency 3:9 7:24 12:8,9 19:6 20:25 announcements 37:17 accompany 26:11 21:5 46:15 49:16 63:14 annual 6:9 10:12 46:22 accountancy 42:5 aggregate 15:23 16:5,9,14,15 annually 6:7 40:17 accountant's 31:7 43:2 aggressive 36:12 Antonio 44:20 accounting 11:5 agree 8:24 9:20 10:8,18,22 11:10, applicable 24:22 18 12:1,11,15 14:12 37:22 44:13 accounts 27:11 45:18 46:17,25 47:17,23 49:21 applicant 25:3,9 30:16 acknowledge 38:10 52:22 64:5 applicants 28:20,24 Act 28:13 36:3 52:25 53:10,21 agreed 37:20 38:12 40:4 54:12,17 57:6 application 18:5 24:22,25 25:9

Index: applications..bunch

26:2,5,8,10,12 27:21 28:7,8,12,18 30:9,15 47:6,21

applications 25:6 40:16 62:7

applied 28:16 applies 45:13

applying 28:12,19

appreciator 64:22

approach 21:7 26:13

appropriations 62:3

approval 22:17 24:2

approved 19:20 53:12

April 4:19,20

Arc 41:18 43:14 44:5 48:12,13 49:11

area 38:19 41:18 44:6 49:12 59:18

areas 41:18 **array** 59:7

articulate 31:18

artwork 22:17

aspect 34:14

assigned 3:8

assistance 17:7

assume 55:3

assurances 24:12

attach 9:15

attached 41:2 47:6

attachment 41:9

attachments 47:16

attendance 35:1,20

attention 52:18

attorney 3:8,12 15:12 18:21 27:19

51:21

audit 9:11 12:4,8,9 31:9 44:14,16, 19,20,23 45:4 46:4,5,6,21,22 62:16

63:21,22 64:4

auditor 31:7 44:21 45:4,11,14 46:8

auditor's 46:18,24

auditors 13:18

audits 9:10 12:8

Austin 5:3 18:22

authored 49:18

authority 31:18 54:16,17,20,21

59:16,20 60:17

authorize 54:18

authorized 21:12 31:25

average 35:1,2,5,20,25

award 14:21 15:22,25 57:11,12

awarded 15:2 16:6,9 17:6 21:18 22:14 57:13.14.18.20

22.14 57.13,14,16,20

aware 9:9 14:24 15:4 16:12 28:8

63:9.11

В

back 14:2 17:18 18:6,8,10,12 25:18 27:4 30:1 31:2 34:20 42:7, 12,15,17 43:2,6,12,15,21 49:4

65:25

background 19:4

balance 51:4

ball 20:9

balls 9:3 16:25 33:22 55:15

bar 39:13,17,20,21 42:14,18,23

43:1 60:22

based 11:21 26:6 52:7 56:13

basic 40:16

basically 12:20 17:1 48:5 55:16

basis 36:21

Bear 41:7

begin 56:12

beginning 61:1

behalf 8:12 18:23 54:1 63:13 64:25

belief 30:10

believer 64:19

believes 26:11,21 45:5 56:1

benefit 34:21 55:23 56:1

beverage 38:22,24

beverages 64:23

Biard 3:2,5 8:15 12:24 13:2,4,8 14:5 16:18 17:22 18:18 32:15,19 38:17,18 52:22 53:23 55:8 66:6,21

big 6:17 15:3 36:17

biggest 39:2

bill 62:3

bingo 3:14 4:3,5,8 6:2,6,8,22 7:10, 20 8:3,13,21,25 9:2,3,11 10:9,19, 23 11:3,11,16,19 12:2,5,9,12,15 13:5 14:10,22 15:1,23,24,25 16:3, 23,24,25 17:3,6,9,11 18:14 19:1, 10,11 20:9 21:13 22:14 25:12 28:13,18 31:6,16,23,24 33:6,9,15, 21,22 34:7 40:11 44:17 47:11,21 48:5 49:2,8 50:15 52:25 53:2,5,21 54:2,11,13,22 55:5,15,25 57:6,11 59:9 65:2

bingo's 39:2

bit 58:20

blocked 25:21

board 8:5 37:13 41:19,20 42:5

51:10

Bob 3:5 38:17,18 41:2 42:19 54:11

body 7:22

bond 30:22,23 49:22 50:2,6,8,15,

16.24 51:6.8 52:2

bone 34:18 41:4

bookkeeper's 31:6

bookkeeping 8:20,21

bottom 34:21 36:16,22

Box 5:3

breath 14:14 60:1

Bresnen 37:23 53:24,25 54:1 55:9

65:17

brevity 54:10

bring 57:23 60:13 66:16

bringing 35:13 63:13

broad 54:15,17,21

broaden 59:7

budget 6:5

building 63:20

bunch 62:6

Index: Bunkley..common

Bunkley 14:6,7,8 18:14 34:15 37:24 57:7

burden 20:13 30:15 44:11 **burdensome** 19:25 29:16

business 8:6 12:6 13:23 14:22,24 21:6 24:10 31:5 33:5,23 34:3 35:9 50:25 64:3

businesses 11:4

butt 59:25

C

calendar 11:2 California 7:1

call 5:19 45:11 48:25 50:19

called 3:3 10:2 **caller** 37:18 **calling** 63:3

Candelario 42:9

candid 19:15 **candor** 31:2

cap 17:20 21:19 57:10

capability 62:16

capital 41:18 44:5 49:12 63:20

captured 22:25 23:3 **capturing** 35:11

card-minder 24:12 61:8

card-minders 60:24 61:2,4,5

card-minding 13:20 24:2,9

carry 62:15

case 26:16 37:12,19 40:10 43:14 44:10 46:24 47:20 48:4 49:11,15 50:14 51:9 62:5,20 63:1,6

cases 7:14 25:18 27:4 30:4 46:2

cash 10:24 22:23 23:1,4,7,11

50:15

cashier 39:18 **cater** 24:11 **center** 37:4

chair 41:19,20

Chairman 5:24 42:25 57:2,21

challenge 25:3 39:7

chance 10:4 31:21 53:13

change 9:13,18 57:12

changed 12:22

Chapter 3:19 4:13 53:1,10

charitable 3:14 6:2,8,22 7:24 8:2, 13 15:1 16:23,24 17:3,9,16 19:1 33:4,5 34:2,7 36:2 40:11 47:13 49:2 53:9,12

charities 8:8,9,10 9:14,19 15:4 34:22 36:3,5,18 39:3,8 48:5 49:9, 10 50:2,14,21 51:16 62:22,24

charity 8:4,24 10:9,19,22 11:10,19 12:1,11,15 21:9 33:3,8,16 41:6 44:20,22,25 45:23 46:7,10,11,13 47:7,10 50:18,24 51:20 56:19

Charles 16:18,21

chart 28:4 **chase** 39:19

check 18:1 41:21 45:24

checklist 12:19 checks 41:16 cheek 42:3

children 7:17

chocolate 14:13

choose 45:18

choice 41:7

chosen 57:17

circumstance 57:19

cities 6:19 citing 64:14 City 48:5 50:15

claims 25:4

clarification 20:20 22:9,21 31:12

clarified 13:16 clarify 28:5 clarity 9:22 Clark 13:9,10,11 33:18

classic 44:10 cleaning 21:25

clear 16:1 21:18 25:11 37:25 53:7

58:6 59:15

client 34:19 46:13

clients 36:8 close 15:9

closed 11:15

code 3:19 4:13 16:5 36:7 39:17,18,

20,21 53:10

codes 39:13 60:22

collect 29:25 63:2,8

collected 6:10,12 51:16

collecting 30:7 51:23 52:5

collection 51:21

comment 3:3 4:24 5:12,14 6:3 8:14 12:20,21 18:25 19:21 33:10, 21 34:15 38:23 39:4 47:9 48:12

commented 17:18
commenter 5:19 53:24

commenting 5:16

comments 3:17 4:25 5:1,4,6 10:10 12:25 16:16 19:7 20:25 32:13 33:14,19 37:14,15,20 38:13 39:23 40:25 44:13 48:13 52:15,24 54:9 55:7 58:14 62:9 64:18 65:23 66:1,3,14

commercial 50:19

Commission 3:6 4:2,4,11,18,25 5:25 6:6 12:7 14:4,9 16:17 18:4,7 19:20 22:17 24:15 25:8 26:20,21 27:17 28:3,22 30:7,8,11,19 31:14, 18,25 32:10 33:11 36:4 39:5 40:12, 15 41:4,22 44:7,9,10 45:20 48:9,17 51:16 53:12 54:14 56:2,21 57:6 60:15 64:9 66:17

Commission's 4:1,23 5:2 15:7 30:9 31:17,22 54:20 60:19

commissioner 42:8 61:19

commissioners 34:8 41:1 42:6 63:16,25 64:1

common 47:1

Index: communicated..deep

communicated 61:22 confronted 43:13 44:20 **CPA** 43:2,9 community 4:3,5 connection 19:9 22:16 crazy 53:20 community's 4:9 conservative 6:1 7:23 8:2,13 crippled 7:17 companies 50:7,23 consideration 61:19 criteria 28:24 **comparison** 35:15 36:17 considered 7:23 11:21 14:2 22:19 current 14:9 19:21 25:21 47:6 26:5 53:13 61:16 comparisons 33:8 consistent 21:23 customer 40:10 61:7 compelling 34:3 console 20:9 customer-business 34:12 competitor 39:2 **customers** 17:13 35:5 36:20 constraints 14:25 completely 58:16 37:12 55:18 59:3 construction 58:3 completing 3:11 **cut** 14:2 construed 22:8 completion 44:15 contested 46:2 D compliance 23:5 continue 15:15 19:17 complicated 60:2 daily 23:6,10 contribute 58:2 complicating 46:4 damaged 11:14 controller 43:9 complied 45:5 darted 10:4 controversial 30:25 compliment 54:2 date 64:2 convened 19:10 **compromise** 14:14,17 dating 18:6 convenience 37:6 comptroller 27:10,11 day 35:8,9,22 37:11 45:21,23,25 conversation 45:15 comptroller's 27:14 55:20,21,22 56:15 conversations 45:9 computer 11:7 days 26:2 27:6 35:12 36:16 37:8, **copy** 9:15 46:21 66:12 10 concept 45:13 49:14 **Cornyn's** 53:18 **De** 57:3.22 concern 14:20 40:12 59:13 61:12 corporation 14:8 65:6 deadline 26:15 concerned 58:20 correct 26:11 45:2,18 48:20 deadlines 26:14 conclude 32:13 correctly 52:1 deal 11:13,15 37:2 59:6 concluded 66:23 correspondence 57:21 deals 55:15 concludes 16:16 65:23 cost 6:22 8:9 23:14 36:24 37:2 dear 17:25 conclusion 53:20 50:6 63:14 dearest 56:24 62:19 conditions 56:14.15.23 costing 9:19 debt 18:10,11,12 27:9,24 29:25 conduct 28:18 33:21 54:21.22 costs 36:23 37:10 30:8,10,12,16 conducted 31:24 34:20 36:2 53:1 counsel 3:6 **debts** 18:8 27:3,10 30:2,5 63:5 54:14 56:22 count 17:20 21:18 57:10 decide 46:17 60:11 conducting 40:11 50:20 53:9 59:8 counter 10:3 decision 45:12.15 conductors 6:10 counties 6:20 decisions 21:6 61:13 confirm 20:24 23:5 country 32:11 declare 48:19 confirmation 23:2.8 60:16 **couple** 36:15 42:22 64:13 dedicated 7:16 conflict 15:18 54:16,19,20 court 32:18 49:15 deep 36:9 60:1 conforming 36:6

Index: deference..entered

deference 61:7 difficult 46:16 documented 9:3 definition 38:8 documents 25:20 27:8 29:23 30:3 diligently 63:18 33:7 55:3 **degree** 40:22 dinged 20:15 dog 57:25 delay 26:20 **dire** 7:16 dollar 11:20 36:25 37:1 delete 40:5 direct 57:6 dollars 6:7 14:23 deleted 20:12 24:21 43:25 direction 15:4 door 10:4 15:9 deleting 9:1,4,7 10:25 11:11 23:20 directly 32:1 33:5 36:22 53:11 **Doug** 43:5 deletion 23:20,22 29:16 Director 3:14 draft 46:6 delinquency 29:24 disadvantaged 7:17 drowning 61:4 delinguent 26:22,23 disagree 38:4 46:17,25 47:17 duck 60:14 delivered 58:10 Disbursement 10:21 **due** 25:15 26:21 27:23,25 30:2,9 demand 10:11.15.17 disbursements 10:24 41:5 denied 27:23 28:9,25 discretion 27:17 dues 42:23 **discuss** 15:16 deny 27:21 30:9,13 **duties** 3:12 discussed 4:6 31:1 47:20 denying 47:21 **duty** 4:16 **Department** 8:1 18:24 discussion 49:23 51:25 53:16 Ε deposit 35:2,20 discussions 19:15 40:4 41:15 deposited 6:20 50:22 e-mail 5:6 deposition 63:15 disingenuous 7:25 earlier 19:14 26:7 28:15,20 33:21 deposits 35:6 34:15 44:14 49:8 57:7 dispute 12:14 25:2 derived 31:24 **easily** 9:23 13:15 22:5 58:21,23 disputed 44:22 design 22:11,12 East 34:19 36:9 58:24 distinction 16:12 **desired** 4:5.11 eaten 63:15 distribution's 47:13 desks 60:14 echo 19:13 58:19 distributor 39:8 destruction 49:6,11 effect 11:22 38:13 distributor's 11:12 29:14 detail 33:13 34:1 47:13 59:11 eligibility 28:14,24 distributors 6:13 determination 29:24 39:12 eliminate 20:1,9 24:1 29:13 ditch 55:22 determine 39:19 46:12 eliminated 17:2 division 3:15 6:6,9,22 7:21 12:5, 10 14:10 19:10 20:7 24:24 25:4,16, **determined** 26:23 39:5 **eliminates** 20:6 21:2 18 26:1,9,11 27:1,2,5,7,9,18,21,23, develops 24:10 eliminating 23:23 64:20 25 28:9,12,16 34:7 48:4 49:2,5 51:15 deviate 56:9 58:4 elimination 24:3,8 division's 25:5 26:7 29:25 deviated 56:10 **Enabling** 28:13 36:2 53:10,21 54:12 57:6 devices 24:9 document 47:12 48:19 49:6 55:10,11 56:10 66:16 enforce 65:19 dialog 31:2

documentation 18:8,10,12 33:17,

22 34:4 41:8.11.14.22 42:11.16.22

43:12,22 44:8 45:1 46:9,11,14,18,

20,23 48:25 49:17 56:20 62:12

dialogue 14:14

dialogues 14:11

differently 22:8

enforceable 61:25

English 53:20

entered 57:3

May 11, 2016 Index: entire..gave

entire 9:7 46:21 flexibility 22:12 F enumerated 47:19 Florida 7:3 equally 48:13 fly 45:11 **F-e-n-o-q-l-i-o** 33:2 equipment 22:14 focus 32:1 face 53:8 65:13 **establish** 6:5 25:19 30:8.10.12 folks 31:15 65:1 fact 27:7 32:11 39:15 45:16,20 45.22 57:22 follow 64:18 65:9 established 25:13 50:24 factor 46:4 Foreign 18:24 establishing 27:24 32:2 failed 52:11 55:20 **forever** 61:18 establishments 39:16 failure 26:6 29:23 forfeit 43:16 event 59:19 60:18 fair 30:11 39:1 49:14,23 **forgot** 62:15 events 15:5 fairly 31:24 **form** 5:12 12:21 23:9,11 47:11,12 everyday 55:25 fall-off 35:12 36:15 **format** 23:14 examples 42:16 43:5 52:10 family 10:3 63:4 **forms** 5:11 11:5,6 47:16 66:7 exceed 16:6 favorite 12:4 Fort 8:20 excellent 61:8 feasible 10:14 forward 66:13 exception 36:24 53:8 federal 12:9 found 35:1 exceptions 66:5 fee 24:22 25:2 26:7,10,11,16 28:21, **fourth** 48:10 25 excessive 14:1 frame 14:2 31:11 35:17 42:2 feel 13:16 14:17 executed 55:2 **frames** 61:13 **fees** 6:4,10,12,15,16,17,23 7:4 8:3 exempt 28:6,10,11,17 frank 19:15 18:2,6 26:15 30:21 42:18 51:17 exemption 16:4 fraternal 28:14 Fenoglio 32:20,21,22 33:1,2 53:6 exhibit 42:3 55:4 60:10 fresh 14:15 exist 56:15 fewer 56:14 front 54:13 existed 27:24 field 13:22 31:5 **fully** 49:1 existing 3:17 19:1,8 57:15 59:15 fight 65:20 fund 6:21,22 expanded 65:8 filed 49:4 fundamentally 27:22 30:17 expect 49:1 64:3 66:2 filing 28:6,18 52:14 funds 41:10,21 **expedited** 39:7,11 40:21 66:10 final 43:5,8 58:8 **future** 19:17 explain 65:14 finally 26:22 49:21 explicit 64:11 financial 30:20 G exposure 52:4 financials 51:1,12 game 9:7,12,13,18 12:17,18 16:3,6 **extensive** 33:10 38:11 find 51:9 17:10,12,19 21:3,4,13,22 31:21 37:16 52:6,7 53:5,12,13 56:8,9,11 **extent** 5:17 15:8 55:5,10 57:22 findings 46:18 58:1 64:17 games 15:24,25 17:14 37:24 52:25 fine 55:24 64:22 56:22 57:11 extra 16:8 54:8 finished 52:20 gauging 62:3 extraordinary 52:19 fixed 36:24 37:9 gave 44:5 55:4 extremely 38:19 flashboard 20:10

hung 44:23 general 3:6 6:20 10:25 15:13 hall 7:10 9:11 20:21 50:20 55:25 27:19 33:20 40:13 51:21 halls 8:22 **Hutchings** 16:19,20,21 33:18 Georgia 7:1 handed 33:7 41:9 43:20 53:15 gist 19:24 ı handled 61:14 **give** 5:8 17:13 34:23 38:11 43:4 handles 8:21 I-v-e-s 8:20 45:17 64:12 handout 33:24 37:17 39:14,25 **ID-129** 47:12 giving 27:5 65:24 41:3 47:5 48:8 ID-68 47:11 glad 38:23 40:6 50:11 52:21 57:4 handouts 32:23 43:21 idea 10:10 36:1 50:2.5 glasses 7:18 hands 15:8 identified 4:10 **Glenn** 54:4 **happen** 13:23 identifies 31:8 good 3:2 5:23 8:19 13:9,10 16:20 happened 46:2 63:23 18:20 20:3 21:3 24:10 32:21 33:23 identify 5:15 48:3 50:2,13 64:16 happy 5:8 32:17,18 52:16 62:7 Illinois 6:24 government 7:14 hard 7:6,12 26:14 imagine 42:8 66:10 Governor's 3:9 47:25 harsh 31:21 32:3 **impact** 30:20 grandfathered 26:16 hate 60:13 **impose** 31:14 grant 54:15,17,21 haw 47:25 impossible 46:17 gray 38:19 head 15:14 inaccurate 11:21 great 7:10 14:16 17:7 59:6 headaches 58:25 include 28:4 65:5 greatly 8:3 **hear** 16:17 included 24:16,19 26:24 55:5,6 Green 17:23,24 heard 19:13 60:18,19 greener 47:25 hearing 3:3,16 4:24 42:1 45:24 including 19:8 34:5 55:4 64:23 66:22 group 8:25 10:20 11:11,19 12:16 inclusion 22:15 62:11 13:5 17:9 19:10 33:9,16 39:7 54:2 Hearings 49:16 income 51:3 55:5 58:11,12 65:2 heart 56:24 62:19 incomplete 24:22 25:1,7,9 26:6,9, **Group's** 10:10,23 12:2,12 **Heeq** 42:13 10 groups 7:18 17:3 54:5 helpful 5:17 19:16 59:25 inconsistent 25:7 growing 49:11 helping 7:16 incorporated 19:19 **guarantee** 51:8,11 52:3 highlight 40:1 51:14 increased 31:19 quess 11:17 38:12 49:6 highlights 27:1 incredible 34:22 quidance 22:19 **hit** 64:13 incremental 36:22 37:5 guy 50:13 hitting 54:23 individual 9:2 15:25 16:10 22:8 **guys** 63:6 51:12 57:11 Hobson's 41:6 individuals 43:12 hold 18:11 27:12,16 Н industry 4:6 19:11 31:3 holds 45:20 Habitable 28:9 **information** 5:9 10:25 17:13 hope 63:17 22:24 23:3 44:1,2 48:19 hacker 11:8 hot 41:16 **initial** 43:21 **half** 7:8 house 9:20,21 13:13 58:21 59:2,4 initially 41:15 50:3

Index: inspection..licenses

inspection 9:4 12:17,18 16:25 20:10 55:14

inspections 17:1

inspector 12:18

inspectors 22:8 59:3,4

instances 51:11

instant 35:3,17,22,23,24 59:17,21

60:16

instructions 40:17

insufficient 41:21

insurance 50:22.23

intent 57:23 58:2.5

intention 60:5

interest 8:25 10:9,19,23 11:11,19 12:2,12,16 13:5 33:9,15 54:2,10 55:5 56:3 65:2

interested 28:22

interests 11:23

intermission 59:16.20.21.24

International 48:9 49:12

internet 63:7

interpretation 13:17 15:5 22:7 28:13,16,19,20,23 29:1

interpretations 13:19

inventory 11:9 29:14 43:24

investing 7:7

invigorating 14:15

involved 33:5 46:5

involving 59:21

lowa 6:25

irrelevant 44:1

IRS 12:8 48:3

issuance 26:20

issue 4:14,20 15:10,12,16 21:21 30:1 41:4 42:13,24 48:12 49:22

54:11 65:22

issued 46:6 60:15

issuing 25:16 27:2

Italian 64:22

items 17:16 21:9 55:12 56:19

Ives 8:16,17,19 13:1,3,7 33:15

James 3:7 5:5 47:24 52:19 54:3

JC480 53:3

jeopardy 60:12

Jewish 48:9 49:12

judged 62:1

iudicial 39:11

July 48:8

Κ

keenly 16:12

keeping 13:25

key 14:20

Kim 18:14.18

Kimberly 18:21

kind 9:22 60:2 63:18

Kiplin 18:19,20,21 32:17 60:9 64:6

Kiplin's 38:14 49:22

knowing 10:6

knowledge 49:10

Krause 42:25

L

lack 24:11

lady 10:1

language 9:22 11:18 12:13,16 14:21 16:8,13 21:22,23 22:1 23:9, 21,22 24:17 26:23 27:1 28:4 29:22 30:6 31:13 38:5,13,21 40:2,7,14,19 44:6,16 45:3 46:19 47:5,18,23 48:2 52:2 53:18,20 58:5,10

large 63:12

largest 37:7

Las 52:6

late 26:16

law 37:25 66:15

lawsuit 63:13

lawyers 60:10

leader 7:5

learn 36:11

leave 63:22

leaves 13:17

ledger 48:15,23

left 3:9,14 27:17 47:25 55:9,12

legal 60:17

legal.input@lottery.state.tx.us.

Legion 5:25 8:1,12

legislation 65:11

legislative 5:24 7:22 57:23 58:2,4

legislature 8:7 16:12 32:10 57:16

legitimate 25:2

lessor 26:17 62:22

lessors 6:10

Leticia 57:2

letter 42:5,13 43:14 48:8 57:2

60:15

letters 44:12

level 61:19

liabilities 25:12,13,15,17 27:3

30:2

liability 25:19,20 41:5 50:4 51:24

52:13

license 6:4,10,12,23 7:4,11 8:3 10:8.12.16 18:11 24:15.22 26:6.14. 17,20 27:20,21 28:2,13,21,23,25 30:13,14 31:20 40:24 42:1 43:1,2, 4.16 47:22 59:24

licensed 13:23 21:12 30:9 42:14,

20,21 43:6

licensee 25:21 27:5,6,9 40:11

45:5,17 65:5,6

licensee's 31:5

licensees 25:17 30:21 64:8

licenses 4:15 10:12 61:14

Index: licensing..nonprofit

licensing 4:16 40:13,21

likewise 38:17

limit 16:14 29:24

limitations 61:3

list 50:13

listened 40:6

litigation 44:10 63:14

Littlefield 14:8

live 61:18

locations 52:10 65:2

log 9:4,5 17:1 20:10

logs 20:12

long 23:2 31:10 54:5

looked 47:5 53:17

lose 41:25

loses 37:9

loss 26:17

losses 52:5

lot 5:16 9:16 13:17 35:13 36:12

48:15

Lottery 3:6 6:6 17:14 38:25 39:1

51:16

Lowe 43:5

loyal 61:6

loyalty 24:11

М

made 16:23 27:15 33:18 34:9,10 38:6,23 40:23,24,25 42:16 51:20 53:16 60:17 61:2 64:16 66:9

mailed 10:13

mailing 5:2

maintain 20:14 34:4

maintained 59:17

maintaining 17:1

majority 7:23

make 15:10 20:19 21:3,5,18 25:11 37:8 41:1,2 45:11 62:10,14,20

63:1,6 65:24

makes 53:17,19 56:8

making 3:8,12 19:4,21 20:22

24:17 48:20

managed 7:9

management 61:13

manipulate 11:6

manner 7:25 58:9

manufacturers 6:13 39:9

Mark 13:8,11

market 56:13 61:3

marketplace 56:16

Martin 5:20,23,24 8:15

matrix 45:22

matter 39:15

meaning 26:16 57:13

meaningful 22:18 25:3

means 22:7 25:13 27:12 34:18

38:20 51:9

meant 57:17

meat 34:18 41:3

meet 44:11 65:7

meeting 49:24 58:11,12

meetings 4:2,7 14:11 19:11,12

38:12 49:24

Melodye 17:22

member 10:2 41:19 51:10

members 8:2,7 19:2 33:4 54:3

63:3 65:3

merchandise 36:6 38:8 39:18

64:16

merchant 40:10

method 62:3

metrics 62:1,6

Michigan 6:24

micro-management 59:11

micro-managing 17:14

mid 25:18

military 4:16,17 19:2,3 65:3

million 6:7

mind 41:8 64:12

minds 15:14

ministerial 27:16

minute 45:10 64:13

miscellaneous 6:16

missing 11:14 26:14

Mississippi 7:2

Missouri 7:2

misspoke 57:7

misunderstand 65:14

modify 23:13

moment 30:24 34:17

Monday 3:10

money 7:6,10 8:10 9:19 18:5 36:21 37:8,9 43:15 51:15 52:3

62:21,22,25 63:2,4,8,14,15

62:21,22,25 63:2,4,8,14,15

month 37:9,11

months 20:14 34:5 46:21

morning 3:2 5:23 6:3 8:19 13:9,10

16:20 18:20 32:21

multiple 45:8

Ν

narrowing 54:20

nearest 56:24 62:18

necessarily 61:24

needed 14:17 45:1

needy 7:11

negative 58:16

net 6:20

night 7:21

nominal 51:25

non-licensees 31:15

noncompliance 20:16

nonetheless 43:13 45:13

nonprofit 51:9

Index: North..phishing

North 36:9

note 38:10 55:21 56:17 57:9

noted 10:5

notice 25:14 28:23 29:2 30:1 45:17

notices 25:17 27:2

notified 45:6

notify 25:9

notion 37:5 48:1

notwithstanding 27:7 44:25

number 9:4,7 11:1,12,13,14 17:18 23:9.11 24:5 29:15 40:5 64:14

numbers 11:22 34:23.25

numerous 10:11

0

object 61:10

objection 20:17 21:24 22:3 23:19 25:23 29:3,5,7

obligation 26:21,22 27:24

obligations 27:19

observation 38:14.16 49:22

observations 37:22 38:6

obsolete 20:6 23:20

obtain 28:17

obtained 26:18 46:9

occasion 11:14 15:23 16:15 21:14,19 22:22,23 23:1,6,11 35:2 36:21 53:2 62:23

occasions 10:11

occupational 4:14

Occupations 4:13 16:5 36:7

53:10

occur 31:5 36:13 51:13

occurred 34:25 36:10 38:11 49:1

occurring 26:25

occurs 35:13

Odessa 58:22

offensive 22:16,19 23:17 38:15,

16,17,18 43:17 65:18

offer 15:22 36:5

offered 53:4 57:16,17

office 3:9 8:21 9:10 12:9 13:23

31:6,7 48:1 49:15

online 6:23

open 15:14 22:7 31:9 49:4 63:22

operating 50:19

Operations 3:14 6:9

operators 17:11

opinion 7:13 15:13 52:25 53:3,15

opportunity 14:4 18:25 19:14

oppose 16:22 18:2 23:16 25:25 29:22 30:18 31:19 54:25

opposed 22:15 23:18

order 3:4 9:14,18 16:3 39:23 42:10

organization 7:15 11:1 20:18,21 21:4,5,12 23:4 28:5,9,17 33:5 34:2,

organization's 27:20

organizations 7:15,24 20:13,15 22:23 23:13,15 28:14 59:1 60:3 65:1

outrageous 63:18

outright 7:14 26:17

outstanding 25:12,14 27:3 30:2

overcharging 7:14

owe 30:16 41:23 42:6 43:15 63:5

owed 30:10

owes 25:4 27:6 42:15 43:1,3,6,9

owned 65:6

Ρ

P.O. 5:3

paid 6:19 17:17 21:10 28:21 41:10 42:17,23 52:3 56:20

paperwork 9:16,17 20:1 23:24

paragraph 9:21 13:15,16

part 19:6,15,21 23:6 24:18 28:4 51:24 52:22 55:6 58:15 66:11

participate 19:12 65:2

participated 54:4

parts 66:4

passage 57:24

passed 58:1

past 28:19 61:15 63:24

pastures 47:25

paternalistic 21:6

patrons 22:6

pay 7:11 18:5 27:6,9 28:24 41:7,24 42:7 43:3.16.23 44:12 47:24 50:15 52:11 63:4

paying 30:21

payment 27:12

payments 11:16 47:3

penalized 45:8

penalizes 8:6

penalties 6:14 32:3

penalty 11:25 18:2 44:4 45:22,25

pennies 30:5 36:25 37:1

Pennsylvania 6:25

penny 43:21 48:10

people 8:11 17:19 18:5 35:14 50:12 55:15 56:12 62:7,10 63:3 64:2

percent 48:24

performance 62:1,2,4

performing 20:9

period 33:10 34:5,24 45:14 51:19, 24

perpetual 29:14

person 3:7 5:5 15:22 17:20 27:16, 23 43:17 48:21 58:22,24

personal 51:8,10

personally 9:25

personnel 4:16

persons 27:11 28:23 64:7.11

pertaining 4:15

phishing 63:5

May 11, 2016 Index: phone..quasi

phone 24:5 57:20 25:24,25 26:3,4 29:4,5,7,9,11,18, 20 30:18 31:13 32:4,6,8 34:8 38:9, phrase 22:5,9 58:20 **prizes** 15:17,21,23 16:6,15 17:6,17 10 50:14 21:10,18,22 22:14 23:6,10 56:20 picture 64:21 57:9,11,13,16 64:16 protects 24:7 place 12:6 27:11 31:7 39:6,10,11 **Pro** 11:4 protest 41:10 62:17 problem 13:14,24 25:1 26:13 45:2 prove 30:16 46:23 placing 27:15 48:7 51:12 **proves** 48:12 plain 58:5 problems 20:19 58:25 **provide** 22:18 24:5 26:5 39:22 planning 20:1 44:7 46:19,20 66:3 procedural 57:4 player 9:25 24:4,10 40:2,8 procedure 39:6,10 **provided** 11:22 24:23 39:24 41:3 player's 24:7 45:4 49:3 procedures 20:19,21,22 40:16 players 20:20 24:10,11 34:9 40:8 providing 59:2 **proceeding** 3:12 19:22 56:14 **provision** 34:7 54:12 59:18 proceedings 3:1 66:23 podium 5:22 provisions 17:4 40:13 46:7 55:1,2 proceeds 31:24 point 16:11 48:13 **public** 3:17 8:14 19:21 27:10 55:16 process 19:7,15 24:2,16,18 27:23, pointed 52:1 56:2 61:23 25 28:1,2 39:11 40:21 43:18 46:8 49:20,25 54:5 publication 20:7 34:9 policies 4:15 61:22 policy 12:4 44:14 49:6,11 55:16 produce 29:23 **published** 4:20,23 33:11 40:1 63:21 64:4 product 52:20 **pull-tab** 15:3,24 22:11 54:13,22 position 24:24 26:7,9 27:8,14 59:21 65:18 products 39:15 59:8 60:22 31:17,19 57:5 **pull-tabs** 11:14 14:21 59:9,16,17 **profit** 37:4,12 posted 13:14 20:21 60:16 64:21,24 Program 20:5 pulled 48:3 posting 58:21 programs 9:18 practice 25:5 purpose 3:16 4:12 55:17 progress 64:16 prefer 5:22 21:4 purposes 31:25 prohibition 24:9 53:14 64:20 preferably 40:18 pursue 30:7 project 19:10 48:16,23 preferences 56:14 put 28:22 34:18 38:13 42:2 44:17 48:17 56:13 64:2 promotion 36:12 preliminary 5:18 promptly 62:8 **puts** 41:3 premises 31:6 Putte 57:3,22 promulgate 61:21 pretty 16:1 20:2 28:24 proof 30:15 44:11 **Pyka** 43:8 prevail 49:16 proper 42:2 previously 24:17 27:2 30:1 Q properly 36:2 primarily 57:25 proposal 4:10 61:11 quality 16:4 **primary** 31:22 proposals 5:1 13:4,6 55:6 60:19, quarter 11:2,17 46:10 48:10 principal 30:19 21 quarterly 11:20 46:22 47:3,6,11 print 10:11,14,17 **propose** 4:18 24:14 26:19 29:12 48:6,18 prior 28:17 48:4 **proposed** 3:17,18,23,25 4:5,6,9, quarters 6:18 50:3 privacy 24:7 12,21,22 8:25 11:11 12:2,16 17:2 quasi 39:11 18:3,14,25 19:8,18,19,22,24 20:5, **prize** 6:17 14:22 15:3,25 18:2,6 8,18 21:1,14,25 22:2,10,13 23:9,25

21:20 30:21 36:6 51:17 53:4 54:18

Index: question..restaurant

question 22:5 36:7 52:23

questions 52:16

quick 54:23

Quickbooks 11:4

R

raffle 14:22 15:2 17:6 34:16,20,25 35:4,10,13,14,23 36:1,2,6 38:7 53:1,4,9,12 54:11,18

raise 59:13

raising 7:10

ran 15:5 34:25 35:23

rare 62:23

rate 8:4

re-addresssed 15:12

re-investigate 15:10

reach 39:11

read 15:19 53:19 57:15

reads 16:3

real 54:23 60:10

reason 33:23 34:3

reasonable 8:4 43:17,18 64:4

reasons 23:17 47:19 53:21 57:4

recall 43:20

receive 3:16 27:12

receiving 25:22

recent 4:1 52:10

recently 18:4 49:5

recognition 32:9

recognized 38:24

recommendation 60:17

reconciled 53:5

reconsider 14:18

reconsideration 55:12

record 3:3,5 12:1 15:19 17:16 18:21 21:9 22:24 32:22 55:6 56:19

57:3,20 58:15 62:11 65:24

records 10:21 11:10 12:6 13:25

20:14 42:11 43:24 49:2,3,5,7,9,13 62:16

reduced 8:3

Reducing 8:9

refer 27:19

reference 20:6 25:12 39:21 64:6

referral 51:21

referred 64:9 reflect 21:12 33:12 57:22

reflected 4:9 55:11

refund 10:3 24:6 25:22

refunded 28:22

Refunds 25:11

refuse 40:8

refused 48:6

refuses 9:25 40:2,8

refute 42:10

regard 58:7

Register 4:21

registered 31:15

registrants 64:8

registry 6:4,11

regular 24:11

regulate 31:23

regulation 34:13

regulatory 21:6 56:2

rejected 39:9

relate 32:2

relates 55:14

relationship 34:12 50:25

relative 60:21

relied 28:20

rely 48:5

remain 31:9

remaining 11:15

reminded 7:5

renewal 26:2,5,6,10,15,20 27:20,

21 28:2 30:13,14 40:24

renewals 18:11 26:14 61:14

rental 6:18 62:23

replacement 9:2 33:22

report 6:9 11:21 22:25 23:1,4,7,12 27:15 46:4,6,22 47:7,11 48:6,18

reporter 32:18,24

reporting 23:14 27:10

reports 11:16,18 22:23 47:3

represent 16:21 33:3

representatives 4:3,4

represented 41:17

representing 13:11

request 14:18 15:13 20:20,23 21:8,11,17 24:17,20 25:10 26:23 28:3 29:16 30:6 31:18 34:9 43:21

49:5 57:1 58:15

requested 17:9 31:8 46:9 51:14

requesting 41:12

require 23:13 24:15 49:9 50:8 52:2

56:8 62:11,13,20

required 9:15 10:25 11:9 19:5

22:24 24:5 34:5 43:24 48:18 56:21

62:12

requirement 16:25 20:13,22 23:5, 10,12 24:4 29:13,17 33:23 50:10

requirements 10:22 17:10 20:12

21:2 37:18 43:25

requires 4:14 56:11 66:15

requiring 20:18

researched 6:23

reservation 60:24

reserving 24:9 61:7

Resolution 12:14

respect 55:13 59:9 63:21

respectfully 14:18

respond 52:16 66:16

response 20:25 66:20

responsibility 31:22 32:2

rest 45:12

restaurant 37:6

May 11, 2016 Index: restored..sign

restored 60:20,21 sense 21:3 47:1 53:16,17,19 56:8 61:21,25 62:10 65:3,7,18 61:2 restrict 60:3 rules 3:18 4:15 5:15,16 9:20,21 13:13 17:15 18:13 19:1,8,25 31:1 sensitivity 61:17 Restrictions 33:20 32:1 33:11 45:6 48:13 49:9 54:9,14 sentence 57:13 result 3:25 19:5 30:14 55:23 58:21 59:2,4 64:14 66:4 sentences 44:19 results 15:6 36:9 run 15:10 17:11,13 35:10 separate 28:1 retail 37:12 39:15,18 S separately 20:2 retailer 37:7 series 33:7 34:20 49:23 return 26:8 **sale** 39:17 **serves** 55:16 returned 24:25 **sales** 35:17,22,24 36:21,22 37:5 service 19:2 32:10 returning 25:6 **San** 44:20 session 9:16 35:5.7 revenue 6:21 sanction 31:19 44:25 64:9 sessions 35:21 50:20 reverse 34:20,25 35:10,13,14,23 sanctions 31:14 64:10 **set** 9:2 36:1 38:7 satisfies 23:10 **review** 4:1,11 19:5,7,9 24:15,18 sets 20:15 **satisfy** 20:22 23:12 40:16 49:25 **settlement** 6:15 18:2 **save** 39:23 revised 17:9,15 21:8,11,17 31:9 Shankel 50:1 saved 7:6 revocation 31:20 **share** 38:14 scale 8:5 revoking 47:21 **Sharon** 8:16.19 **scam** 63:5 reward 36:14 **sheet** 51:4 scamsters 63:7 Richard 14:6,7 37:24 **shifts** 30:14 **schedule** 9:7,12,14 17:12 21:3,4, right-hand 47:4 shocking 52:11 13 22:25 23:3,6,10 37:16 56:9,11, ring 39:16 17 64:10 **short** 45:14 53:11 risk 52:8 schedules 9:18 17:10 40:17 56:8 shortage 48:25 River 48:5 50:15 schools 7:18 shortages 18:5 road 45:19 scraping 15:1 shorten 31:9 rolls 22:25 23:4 scratch 38:25 shortens 26:1 room 13:17 60:10 63:11 **seat** 61:8 shorter 14:2 31:11 64:2 round 48:6 section 14:19 15:20 16:24 21:8 shoulders 45:13 53:7 57:7 60:3 rounding 11:17,20,22 48:1,4,11 **shout** 47:24 65:22 sections 3:20 **show** 38:2 42:17 43:22 56:15 64:2. **Royal** 3:13 38:24 40:21 44:24 45:9 seek 22:21 23:8 31:12 24 50:11 52:1,17 54:3 57:1 61:17 **sell** 59:16 63:25 65:15 showing 41:4 selling 36:13 37:4 **rule** 3:3,8,12,18,23,25 4:1,5,11,12, **shown** 61:7,17 19,22 5:15 6:4 8:23 9:6,20,24 10:8, **Senator** 57:2.21 **shows** 6:9 42:6 16,18,21 11:9,16,25 12:4,14 13:13, send 42:5 46:8 20 15:18 16:22 17:5,8,24 18:1,3 **shuts** 39:18 19:2,4,5,7,9,20,21 20:2 24:17,18 sending 44:12 **side** 33:10 47:4 55:4,9,11 60:8

senior 45:15

28:4 30:7 31:8,21 32:8,9,12 34:11,

17 43:25 44:22 49:25 54:24 56:11,

18 57:15 58:3,8 59:7,19 60:6,8,25

sign 10:4 12:20

Index: significant..symbols

significant 40:22 58:22,24 struck 40:7 47:18 similar 15:5 37:14,15 40:25 48:17 subject 64:8 staff's 52:18 **simple** 53:21 **stakeholder** 4:2,7 14:11 subjective 22:18 simply 25:14 56:18 stakeholders 19:11 31:3 **submit** 5:6 19:7 26:6 39:9 58:13 single 15:22 22:24 standard 51:3 **submitted** 5:2 24:18 28:11 33:9 40:15 standards 62:2 65:7 **sit** 5:21 8:17 **submitting** 12:25 32:15 sitting 3:13 62:5 standpoint 52:4 **subparagraph** 33:24 34:6,16 **start** 20:4 situation 10:1 34:22 40:9 45:18 37:24 38:1,5,7,15 39:22,24 40:1, 46:1 47:22 started 49:24 15,20 44:6,15,16,18 46:3 47:19,24 **sleep** 7:21 state 4:14 5:25 7:22,23,25 11:4 **subsection** 20:17 21:1,11,15,17 22:3.16.22 23:17.20.23 24:4.20.21 sliding 8:5 12:8 25:13 27:13 37:25 42:5,14,18, 25:8,10 29:12,23 31:13 39:5 55:13 23 51:15 smiling 42:19 subsections 20:10 56:4 58:17 state's 6:20 **SOAH** 49:15 substantial 28:25 36:14 stated 26:7 27:2 30:1 37:24 49:8 socialist 7:5 **statement** 7:5 48:18,21 51:3 substantive 46:23 socialistic 8:5 sued 51:20 statements 5:18 software 11:5 suggest 31:14 43:11,16 states 6:24 **sold** 11:13 17:16 21:9 35:2 56:19 suggested 45:10 56:3 59:19 **statue** 15:19 **solely** 26:21 status 28:6.10.11.17 **suggestion** 44:14 45:3 summarize 66:15 solicited 4:4 statute 15:20 16:10 52:2 58:5 **solves** 48:7 statutes 28:14 **summed** 11:24 superior 38:9 sort 52:2 **statutory** 19:5 21:23 58:3 source 25:19 27:8 30:3 **stems** 4:13 supplement 38:3 47:7,10,14 54:10 sources 44:2 **step** 15:3 supplements 47:3,5 **South** 36:8 steps 24:1 61:23 **supply** 54:8 **Spaces** 28:10 Steve 32:19,22 33:1 53:24 54:1 **support** 13:21,22 20:5 21:14 22:2, speak 13:12 14:4 17:24 37:23 stop 43:19 49:20 60:9 10,13 23:22,25 24:3,8 25:20 27:8 63:10 66:18 29:9,11,18,20 30:3 31:4 32:4,6,8 **store** 37:6 33:15,16 38:21 40:20 49:2 54:24 speaking 13:21 stores 37:7 56:21 57:24 58:17 59:2,7,9 62:9 specific 33:17 46:19 66:4 streamline 17:10 19:25 24:15 specifically 10:24 33:24 51:2 62:10 supporting 29:24 41:11 44:7 spelled 32:25 Street 52:7 **supports** 57:5 58:5 splitting 21:21 stretch 65:5 **surely** 42:16 spouses 4:17 19:3 **strike** 65:18 surprising 52:11 striking 38:22 61:6 spreadsheet 41:4,7,13,23 42:6 survive 14:25 44:9 49:18,19 stroke 10:2 suspicion 48:24 stab 65:12 **strong** 64:19 **symbols** 38:25 **staff** 4:2 8:8 31:3 37:20 38:9.12 strongly 59:9 40:4,6 41:15 45:15 47:2 54:3

May 11, 2016 Index: system..voided

system 11:8 24:2 undertake 19:6 18,19 39:13,23 42:21 45:14 50:1,5 51:19 52:15 61:1,2,13,24 64:4 **Systems** 13:20 undertaking 52:19 65:24 unfair 27:22 30:17 timely 44:15 45:17 Т unit 8:21 11:1 times 41:12 51:20 59:24 61:15 **unknown** 10:13 table 5:21 **Title** 3:19 unnecessary 20:1,12 23:23 24:1 takes 12:5 24:24 36:11 titles 11:6 29:15 talked 56:5 today 3:7 12:23 16:17 18:23 39:12, unneeded 17:2 16 41:2 65:24 66:5 talking 47:15 65:10 unprocessed 24:25 25:6 26:8 told 17:11 59:23 tally 20:3 unweary 44:3 tongue 42:3 tax 6:18 28:6,10,11,17 62:23 **update** 40:17 tops 54:23 taxpayer 11:1,12 29:15 43:18 urge 55:12 56:3 63:25 total 6:10,12 16:5 TCA 55:5 utilizing 37:16 Training 20:5 technology 14:24 transaction 24:6 tedious 54:5 V transcript 66:9,10 telephone 40:5 63:8 **vague** 22:18 transposed 62:13 telling 53:11 Van 57:3,22 **trap** 20:15 44:3 55:19 temporary 10:8,12 vanilla 14:13 **trapped** 14:23 ten 37:10 41:20 variance 11:23 traps 15:11 term 63:14 variations 56:16 treats 7:24 terms 14:23 32:2 56:2 59:24 Vegas 52:6 tree 54:23 **Texans** 6:2 8:2,13 versus 34:7 35:21 39:25 troubled 65:13 **Texas** 4:20 5:3 6:1,6 7:4,22 8:1,11, veterans 4:17 7:18 18:24 19:3 24 10:9,18,22 11:10,18 12:1,7,11, true 33:17 37:5 44:5 48:20 15 14:23 18:24 32:9 33:3,8,16 32:11 65:1 turn 37:11 34:19 36:8,9 47:10 53:10 58:24 **VFW** 19:7,11 33:9 55:6 turned 5:12 **Texreg** 4:21,22 **VFW'S** 19:18 Turning 38:4 thing 6:5 36:4,8 48:16 61:9 63:19 Vice-president 6:1 two-page 47:11 things 59:10 61:11,15 62:11 64:13 video 60:15 type 10:5 **Thompson** 57:2,21 view 58:23 typo 62:14 thought 48:15 50:1 violated 46:7 threaten 45:23 violation 10:6 31:20 44:21 45:16, U threshold 51:5 21 46:12,24 55:23 ticket 10:5,6 14:22 22:12 35:24 Ultimately 27:18 violations 32:1 45:8 36:6.25 39:9 53:4 54:18 unambiguous 58:6,7 virtually 52:8 tickets 11:13.15 15:2 17:6 34:16 virtue 25:14 unaware 41:20 35:3 37:2,3 38:25 59:19,22 60:18 void 24:6 underlying 41:8,13 48:24 49:17 tied 15:8 understand 15:7 30:20 45:12 52:9 voided 10:5

53:18 61:12 65:15

time 3:4 8:14 9:13,15,17 14:2 26:1

28:11 30:21 31:9,11 34:24 35:16,

voted 4:18 34:8

W

wait 30:13 45:10,19

Waiver 11:25 18:2 44:4

Wal-mart 37:6,7

wall 52:7 56:13

wanted 36:4 48:4 55:1

warrant 27:12,16

Wars 18:24

weapons 38:22 64:21

weary 44:3

website 4:23 48:3

week 34:24 35:4,6,7,15,21 36:17

50:21 66:11

whatsoever 56:1

whichever 5:22

whole-heartedly 65:16

wholly 65:6

win 17:19

window 62:4

wines 64:22

winners 21:22

wisely 7:7

wishes 66:18

wishing 5:11,14

Women 48:9 49:12

word 16:14 22:16,22 23:16 47:7,13

57:11,17 65:18

worded 15:20 59:15

words 7:9 40:5

work 3:9 7:12 13:23 19:10 31:5 42:2 54:4 55:25 58:11,12

worked 7:6 39:8 57:25

workers 6:11 31:16

Workforce 12:7

world 37:8,13 65:19

worth 8:20 46:21 63:13

write 40:3 45:24 50:23 51:7,10

writing 32:16 45:7 59:14

written 4:25 9:7,11,12 11:7 12:25 15:18 39:23 41:21 47:3 52:15,24

54:8 58:14 64:18 66:3,14

wrong 10:7 27:22 wrote 17:25 41:16

Υ

y'all 51:20 58:25 59:12 60:14 63:17

year 6:24,25 7:1,2,3 8:4 35:17

46:10

years 13:25 18:6,7,16 19:6 28:6,10 31:10 38:23 42:15,22 43:15 44:23 45:7,19,20 46:1 49:1,4,6,9 52:12

63:23